**F0. Introduction**

**(F0.1) Give a general description of and introduction to your organization.**

Yum! Brands, Inc., based in Louisville, Kentucky, has over 50,000 restaurants in more than 150 countries and territories primarily operating the Company’s brands – KFC, Pizza Hut, and Taco Bell – global leaders of the chicken, pizza, and Mexican-style food categories. Starting at end of the first quarter of 2020 the Company’s family of brands includes The Habit Burger Grill, a fast-casual restaurant concept specializing in made-to-order chargrilled burgers, sandwiches and more. Yum! Brands was included on the 2021 Bloomberg Gender-Equality Index. In 2020, Yum! Brands was named to the Dow Jones Sustainability Index North America and was ranked among the top 100 Best Corporate Citizens by 3BL Media.

**(F0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting year</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>January 1 2020</td>
<td>December 31 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(F0.3) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.**

USD

**(F0.4) Select the forest risk commodity(ies) that you are, or are not, disclosing on (including any that are sources for your processed ingredients or manufactured goods); and for each select the stages of the supply chain that best represents your organization’s area of operation.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity disclosure</th>
<th>Stage of the value chain</th>
<th>Explanation if not disclosing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Retailing</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Retailing</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Retailing</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>Retailing</td>
<td>We have elected to focus on timber, palm oil, and cattle during this reporting cycle as we have set and are working toward meeting goals in these areas. We acknowledge that all commodities which impact deforestation are important and are evaluating reporting in additional areas in the future. Soy is used in our products as an oil, secondary ingredient, and as animal feed for our animal proteins. Most of soy is used as an embedded, secondary ingredient for which we don’t have direct insight or control. We are working to better understand this supply chain so we can provide more transparency and help identify risk over time, with an initial focus on the direct sourcing of poultry purchasing. We recognize that the soy supply chain is very complex and the process for accessing data and accurately reporting will require a phased approach. Our engagement with our partner WWF continued in 2019-2020 with a focus on the Brazil supply chain. This includes a landscape analysis and strategic plan to better understand how to reduce deforestation risks. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>Retailing</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>Retailing</td>
<td>We have elected to focus on timber, palm oil, and cattle during this reporting cycle as we have set and are working toward meeting goals in these areas. We acknowledge that all commodities which impact deforestation are important and are evaluating reporting in additional areas in the future. The quantity of cocoa procured is very low and is not a material component of our business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>Retailing</td>
<td>We have elected to focus on timber, palm oil, and cattle during this reporting cycle as we have set and are working toward meeting goals in these areas. We acknowledge that all commodities which impact deforestation are important and are evaluating reporting in additional areas in the future.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(F0.5) Are there any parts of your direct operations or supply chain that are not included in your disclosure?**

Yes
(F0.5a) Identify the parts of your direct operations or supply chain that are not included in your disclosure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value chain stage</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
<th>Description of exclusion</th>
<th>Potential for forest-related risk</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct operations</td>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>Corporate offices and back-of-house restaurant operations</td>
<td>Potential for forest-related risk but not evaluated</td>
<td>The timber data reported reflects our current sustainable fiber sourcing policy and goal which applies to paper-based food and beverage packaging used in our restaurants and not to our corporate offices, also known as Restaurant Support Centers (RSCs) or to packaging used to ship products to us (e.g. to transport food packaging to the restaurants). Similarly, any palm oil used as an imbedded ingredient in food served or other products used in our corporate offices is not part of our policy and is excluded from this analysis. Our current reporting boundary reflects nearly all reported palm oil for cooking and fiber-based packaging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply chain</td>
<td>Specific product line(s)</td>
<td>Palm oil used as a secondary ingredient</td>
<td>Potential for forest-related risk but not evaluated</td>
<td>The palm oil data reported reflects our current sustainable palm oil policy and goal which applies to palm oil used as cooking oil because the majority of our palm oil is used as cooking oil. Certain brands in certain markets such as Taco Bell US have applied the policy to all palm oil used – including that which is used as secondary ingredients, but that is not included in this disclosure. Our current reporting boundary reflects nearly all reported palm oil for cooking and fiber-based packaging.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F1. Current state

F1.1

(F1.1) How does your organization produce, use or sell your disclosed commodity(ies)?

Timber products

Activity
- Retailing/onward sale of commodity or product containing commodity
- Other, please specify (Buying manufactured product)

Form of commodity
- Paper
- Primary packaging

Source
- Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)
Country/Area of origin
Argentina
Australia
Austria
Belarus
Belgium
Bosnia & Herzegovina
Brazil
Canada
Chile
China
Colombia
Croatia
Cyper
Czechia
Denmark
Dominican Republic
Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
India
Indonesia
Ireland
Italy
Japan
Latvia
Lithuania
Luxembourg
Malaysia
Mexico
Netherlands
New Zealand
Norway
Pakistan
Panama
Philippines
Poland
Portugal
Republic of Korea
Romania
Russian Federation
Saudi Arabia
Serbia
Singapore
Slovakia
Slovenia
South Africa
Spain
Sweden
Switzerland
Taiwan, Greater China
Thailand
Ukraine
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
United States of America
Uruguay
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
Viet Nam

% of procurement spend
6-10%

Comment
Based on our position in the supply chain as a quick-service restaurant company, we procure paper-based packaging manufactured for our food products and in our own printed marketing materials. Paper-based packaging is then used to serve, transport, protect and market our food products. Our provided procurement spend has been estimated from submitted global survey responses and is used to approximate our total spend.
Palm oil

Activity
Retailing/onward sale of commodity or product containing commodity
Other, please specify (Buying manufactured product)

Form of commodity
Refined palm oil

Source
Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Country/Area of origin
Bangladesh
China
Dominican Republic
Ecuador
India
Indonesia
Malaysia
Peru
Thailand
Turkey
Viet Nam

% of procurement spend
1-5%

Comment
Based on our position in the supply chain as a quick-service restaurant company, we procure manufactured palm oil for use as cooking oil to prepare products such as fried chicken. Many markets use alternative cooking oils. Our procurement spend on palm oil has been estimated from submitted global survey responses. Countries of origin for palm oil are based on information received through the global supplier survey. As such, there may be markets that receive supplies from additional countries.

Cattle products

Activity
Retailing/onward sale of commodity or product containing commodity
Other, please specify (Buying manufactured product)

Form of commodity
Beef

Source
Contracted suppliers (processors)

Country/Area of origin
Australia
Belgium
Brazil
Canada
Denmark
Germany
Guatemala
Ireland
Mexico
Netherlands
Spain
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
United States of America

% of procurement spend
6-10%

Comment
Our beef use is largely by our Taco Bell brand. The Habit Burger is also a contributing user. Over 90% percent of Taco Bell's restaurants are located within the United States and the vast majority of the brand's beef sourcing is from the United States. Over 93% of our beef comes from origins of lower deforestation risk. Countries of origin for beef are based on information received through the global supplier survey. As such, there may be markets that receive supplies from additional countries. We will continue to strive to improve data collection in the future.

F1.2
(F1.2) Indicate the percentage of your organization’s revenue that was dependent on your disclosed forest risk commodity(ies) in the reporting year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>% of Revenue Dependent</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>Timber is used as a raw material for our paper-based packaging, which is primarily a vehicle to serve, transport, protect, and market our food products. As such, revenue is not dependent on this commodity as alternative commodities could likely be sourced to supplement and/or replace paper-based packaging, if needed. We don’t calculate revenues generated from our paper-based food packaging as it is not sold independently of our food products. Secondly, our policy and goal for paper-based packaging supports both the sustainable forest management of the raw material and the amount of recycled content going into the manufactured product; the two factors are weighted equally and not evaluated separately (e.g. one’s impact on revenue compared to the other’s). Therefore, we do not know the percent of revenue dependent on timber alone and have selected less than 1%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>Palm oil is used primarily for cooking oil as well as an ingredient for some food products in some markets. As such, revenue is not dependent on this commodity as alternative commodities could likely be sourced to supplement and/or replace its use, if needed. We don’t calculate revenues generated from palm oil alone because it is not sold as an independent final food product. As a result, we have selected less than 1%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>6-10%</td>
<td>We do not calculate revenues generated by beef alone. Beef is used as a food ingredient. It is used primarily by Taco Bell and Habit Burger which comprises about 15% of our total restaurant count at the end of 2020. Based percentage of procurement for food and packaging for beef in the United States we have extrapolated an estimate of system sales that could be considered to be linked to beef.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F1.5) Does your organization collect production and/or consumption data for your disclosed commodity(ies)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Data Availability/Disclosure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Consumption data available, disclosing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Consumption data available, disclosing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Consumption data available, disclosing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F1.5a)
### (F1.5a) Disclose your production and/or consumption data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Data coverage</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Consumption data</td>
<td>435751</td>
<td>Metric tons</td>
<td>Full commodity production/consumption</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Consumption data</td>
<td>182843</td>
<td>Metric tons</td>
<td>Full commodity production/consumption</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Consumption data</td>
<td>181775</td>
<td>Metric tons</td>
<td>Full commodity production/consumption</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (F1.5b) For your disclosed commodity(ies), indicate the percentage of the production/consumption volume sourced by national and/or sub-national jurisdiction of origin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Country/Area of origin</th>
<th>State or equivalent jurisdiction</th>
<th>% of total production/consumption volume</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Misiones</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from Argentina is estimated to represent 0.2% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Misiones region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country/Area of origin</td>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>Please explain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Western Australia, South Australia, Victoria, Tasmania</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin if known. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from Australia is estimated to represent 1.53% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Western Australia, South Australia, Victoria, and Tasmania regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Rio de Janeiro, Sao Paolo, Mato Grasso, Santa Catarina, Maranhao</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin if known. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from Brazil is estimated to represent 0.65% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Rio de Janeiro, Sao Paolo, Mato Grasso, Santa Catarina, and Maranhao regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Valle de Cauca, Antioquia</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin if known. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from Colombia is estimated to represent 0.03% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Valle de Cauca and Antioquia regions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Telangana, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin if known. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from India is estimated to represent 1.33% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Telangana, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, and Uttar Pradesh regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest risk commodity</td>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country/Area of origin</strong></td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State or equivalent jurisdiction</strong></td>
<td>Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Penang)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Please explain</strong></td>
<td>We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from Malaysia is estimated to represent 0.71% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Penang region.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country/Area of origin</strong></td>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State or equivalent jurisdiction</strong></td>
<td>Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Morelos)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Please explain</strong></td>
<td>We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from Mexico is estimated to represent 1.28% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Morelos region.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country/Area of origin</strong></td>
<td>Panama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State or equivalent jurisdiction</strong></td>
<td>Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Panama)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Please explain</strong></td>
<td>We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from Panama is estimated to represent 0.005% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Panama Capital region. Please note that CDP only allows two decimal places, so we have reported 0.01%.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country/Area of origin</strong></td>
<td>Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State or equivalent jurisdiction</strong></td>
<td>Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Manila, Pasig)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from Philippines is estimated to represent 0.39% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Manila and Pasig regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.

**Forest risk commodity**
- **Timber products**

**Country/Area of origin**
- **Thailand**

**State or equivalent jurisdiction**
- Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Prachinburi, Samut Prakan)

**% of total production/consumption volume**
- 0.44

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from Thailand is estimated to represent 0.44% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Prachinburi, and Samut Prakan regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.

**Forest risk commodity**
- **Timber products**

**Country/Area of origin**
- **Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)**

**State or equivalent jurisdiction**
- Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Aragua)

**% of total production/consumption volume**
- 0.01

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from Venezuela is estimated to represent 0.004% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Aragua region. Please note that CDP only allows 2 decimal places, so we have reported 0.01%.

**Forest risk commodity**
- **Timber products**

**Country/Area of origin**
- **Viet Nam**

**State or equivalent jurisdiction**
- Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Binh Phuoc)

**% of total production/consumption volume**
- 0.4

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from Vietnam is estimated to represent 0.4% of our total timber volume and is sourced from the Binh Phuoc region.

**Forest risk commodity**
- **Timber products**

**Country/Area of origin**
- **Unknown origin**

**State or equivalent jurisdiction**
- <Not Applicable>

**% of total production/consumption volume**
- 16.7

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an
estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. This methodology provides the means to calculate full representation. Proxy data does not provide an accurate confirmation of country of origin compared to actual survey responses, so we have selected "Unknown" for the purposes of this disclosure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>Any other countries/areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>75.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please explain

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on packaging products containing timber (via fiber used in paper-based packaging). In our 2020 survey, we requested all global timber suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of timber production facilities. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, timber sourced from countries of low deforestation risk represents 75.78% of our total timber volume. These countries include Austria, Baltics, Belarus, Belgium, Bosnia & Herzegovina, Canada, Chile, China, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Dominican Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Republic of South Korea, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Serbia, Singapore, Slovak Republic (Slovakia), Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Taiwan, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates United Kingdom, United States of America (USA), and Uruguay. Pursuant to CDP Guidance, our volumes from these countries have been disclosed together within this row.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please explain

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on palm oil used for cooking purposes in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global palm oil suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We were pleased to receive responses representing an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of the palm oil mill and/or refiner. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, palm oil sourced from Ecuador is estimated to represent 1.01% of our total palm oil volume and is sourced from the Esmeraldas and Sucumbios regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please explain

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on palm oil used for cooking purposes in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global palm oil suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We were pleased to receive responses representing an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of the palm oil mill and/or refiner. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, palm oil sourced from the India is estimated to represent 3.70% of our total palm oil volume and is sourced from the Andhra Pradesh and Gujarat regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>8.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please explain

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on palm oil used for cooking purposes in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global palm oil suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We were pleased to receive responses representing an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of the palm oil mill and/or refiner. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, palm oil sourced from Indonesia is estimated to represent 8.83% of our total palm oil volume and is sourced from the Kalimanten, Bangka Belitung, Riau regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.
results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of the palm oil mill and/or refiner. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, palm oil sourced from Indonesia is estimated to represent 8.83% of our total palm oil volume and is sourced from the Kalimanten, Bangka Belitung, and Riau regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country/Area of origin</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Bintulu, Sabah, Selangor, Sarawak, Perak)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>19.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please explain**

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on palm oil used for cooking purposes in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global palm oil suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We were pleased to receive responses representing an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of the palm oil mill and/or refiner. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, palm oil sourced from Malaysia is estimated to represent 19.15% of our total palm oil volume and is sourced from the Bintulu, Sabah, Selangor, Sarawak, and Perak regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country/Area of origin</td>
<td>Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Ucayali)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please explain**

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on palm oil used for cooking purposes in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global palm oil suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We were pleased to receive responses representing an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of the palm oil mill and/or refiner. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, palm oil sourced from Peru is estimated to represent 0.01% of our total palm oil volume and is sourced from the Ucayali region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country/Area of origin</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Chumporn, Krabi, Surat Thani, Trang, Prachuap Khiri Khan, Nakhon Si Thammarat)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please explain**

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on palm oil used for cooking purposes in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global palm oil suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We were pleased to receive responses representing an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of the palm oil mill and/or refiner. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, palm oil sourced from Thailand is estimated to represent 6.45% of our total palm oil volume and is sourced from the Chumporn, Krabi, Surat Thani, Trang, Prachuap Khiri Khan, and Nakhon Si Thammarat regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country/Area of origin</td>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Ho Chi Minh, Quang Ninh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please explain**

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on palm oil used for cooking purposes in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global palm oil suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We were pleased to receive responses representing an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Reporting gaps associated with countries of origin have been estimated based on reported location of the palm oil mill and/or refiner. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, palm oil sourced from Vietnam is estimated to represent
0.61% of our total palm oil volume and is sourced from the Ho Chi Minh and Quang Ninh regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country/Area of origin</td>
<td>Unknown origin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please explain**

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on palm oil used for cooking purposes in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global palm oil suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We were pleased to receive responses representing an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. This methodology provides the means to calculate full representation. Proxy data does not provide an accurate confirmation of country of origin compared to actual survey responses, so we have selected "Unknown" for the purposes of this disclosure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country/Area of origin</td>
<td>Any other countries/areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>57.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please explain**

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on palm oil used for cooking purposes in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global palm oil suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We were pleased to receive responses representing an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we have either added an estimate based on submitted data from the previous reporting period or added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Based on the results of the 2020 survey, palm oil sourced from countries of low deforestation risk represents 57.77% of our total palm oil volume. These countries include Bangladesh, China, Dominican Republic and Turkey. Pursuant to CDP Guidance, our volumes from these countries have been disclosed together within this row.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Cattle products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country/Area of origin</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (New South Wales, Queensland, Tasmania, Victoria)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please explain**

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on beef used in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global beef suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We received responses representing an estimated 64% of our Pizza Hut, Taco Bell and Habit Burger restaurants (KFC does not use a material amount of beef). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Based on the results of our 2020 analysis, beef sourced from Brazil is estimated to represent 1.40% of our total beef volume and is sourced from the New South Wales, Queensland, Tasmania, and Victoria regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Cattle products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country/Area of origin</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or equivalent jurisdiction</td>
<td>Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Minas Gerais, Goiás, Mato Grosso, Mato Grosso do Sul, Sao Paulo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please explain**

We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on beef used in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global beef suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We received responses representing an estimated 64% of our Pizza Hut, Taco Bell and Habit Burger restaurants (KFC does not use a material amount of beef). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Based on the results of our 2020 analysis, beef sourced from Brazil is estimated to represent 0.27% of our total beef volume and is sourced from the Minas Gerais, Goiás, Mato Grosso, Mato Grosso do Sul, and Sao Paulo regions. Detailed location information regarding the proportion sourced from each jurisdiction is not available at this time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Area of origin</th>
<th>State or equivalent jurisdiction</th>
<th>% of total production/consumption volume</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Guatemala City</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on beef used in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global beef suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We received responses representing an estimated 64% of our Pizza Hut, Taco Bell and Habit Burger restaurants (KFC does not use a material amount of beef). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Based on the results of our 2020 analysis, beef sourced from Guatemala is estimated to represent 0.72% of our total beef volume and is sourced from the Guatemala City region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Queretaro</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>We issued a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all countries report on beef used in our restaurants. In our 2020 survey, we requested all global beef suppliers to report the states, provinces, and regions within the country of origin (if known). We received responses representing an estimated 64% of our Pizza Hut, Taco Bell and Habit Burger restaurants (KFC does not use a material amount of beef). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Based on the results of our 2020 analysis, beef sourced from Mexico is estimated to represent 4.43% of our total beef volume and is sourced from the Queretaro region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown origin</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Proxy data does not provide an accurate confirmation of country of origin compared to actual survey responses, so we have selected &quot;Unknown&quot; for the purposes of this disclosure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other countries/areas</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>88.29</td>
<td>Based on the results of the 2020 survey, beef sourced from countries of low deforestation risk represents 88.29% of our total beef volume. These countries include Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Germany, Ireland, Netherlands, Spain, United Kingdom and United States of America. Pursuant to CDP Guidance, our volumes from these countries have been disclosed together within this row.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(F1.5e) How does your organization produce or consume biofuel derived from palm oil?

Does your organization produce or consume biofuel derived from palm oil?
No

Data type
<Not Applicable>

Volume produced/consumed
<Not Applicable>

Metric
<Not Applicable>

Country/Area of origin
<Not Applicable>

State or equivalent jurisdiction
<Not Applicable>

% of total production/consumption volume
<Not Applicable>

Does the source of your organization’s biofuel material come from smallholders?
<Not Applicable>

Comment

F1.6

(F1.6) Has your organization experienced any detrimental forests-related impacts?
Yes

F1.6a

(F1.6a) Describe the forests-related detrimental impacts experienced by your organization, your response, and the total financial impact.

Forest risk commodity
Timber products

Impact driver type
Reputational and markets

Primary impact driver
Increased cost of certified sustainable material

Primary impact
Increased operating costs

Description of impact
Sustainably certified products, such as palm oil and fiber-based products can result in increased costs. We mitigate these costs, as much as possible, through supply chain procurement as well as strategic specifications. In some market, in particular to certified fiber-based packaging, increased costs have been an impediment to supplying fully certified products. Due to the large, decentralized nature of our organization and our ability to source from multiple regions, the scale of this impact is not likely to rise to the level of “substantive.”

Primary response
Engagement with suppliers

Total financial impact

Description of response
Sustainably certified products fiber-based products can result in increased initial costs. We mitigate these costs, as much as possible, through supply chain procurement as well as strategic specifications. In some market, in particular to certified fiber-based packaging, increased costs have been an impediment to supplying fully certified products. We are unable to provide the financial impact. However, we have experienced an increase in cost for certified sustainable materials including palm oil and fiber-based products. This varies according to market and according to the material.

Forest risk commodity
Palm oil

Impact driver type
Reputational and markets

Primary impact driver
Increased cost of certified sustainable material

Primary impact
Increased operating costs

Description of impact
Sustainably certified products, such as palm oil and fiber-based products can result in increased costs. We mitigate these costs, as much as possible, through supply chain procurement as well as strategic specifications. In some market, in particular to certified fiber-based packaging, increased costs have been an impediment to supplying fully certified products. Due to the large, decentralized nature of our organization and our ability to source from multiple regions, the scale of this impact is not likely to rise to the level of “substantive.”
Primary response
Engagement with suppliers

Total financial impact
1,896,260

Description of response
Sustainably certified products such as palm oil can result in increased initial costs. We mitigate these costs, as much as possible, through supply chain procurement as well as strategic specifications. In some markets, in particular to certified fiber-based packaging, increased costs have been an impediment to supplying fully certified products. We have provided the estimated increase in cost based on 2020 reported volume data and average pricing. It is a global number covering all restaurants.

Forest risk commodity
Cattle products

Impact driver type
Reputational and markets

Primary impact driver
Increased stakeholder concern or negative stakeholder feedback

Primary impact
Increased operating costs

Description of impact
Many of our investors are aware of the environmental risks of deforestation and have expressed concern. We have received shareholder proposals and have directly engaged with them on the topic. We continue to investigate vegetarian options and meat alternatives as our menu constantly evolves to meet the preferences of our customers. We acknowledge the growing concern for sustainable beef and are involved in organizations such as the Roundtable for Sustainable Beef to promote the sustainability of this commodity. As such, we do not currently anticipate the scale of this impact to rise to the level of “substantive.”

Primary response
Participation in sector-wide and/or multi-stakeholder agreements

Total financial impact

Description of response
Yum! endorsed the New Declaration on Forests (NYDF) and believes it is an important step toward us meeting the private sector goal of elimination deforestation from the production of agricultural commodities and halving the rate of loss of natural forests globally by 2020 and striving to end natural forest loss by 2030. We are continuing to expand reporting in this space, through a focus on CDP. Yum! has worked with WWF to conduct a global supply chain risk assessment across our food and agricultural commodities and well as working with them specially on a Brazil landscape assessment that will inform our future sourcing strategy and reporting. At this this time we are unable to provide the financial impact.

F2. Procedures

F2.1

(F2.1) Does your organization undertake a forests-related risk assessment?
Yes, forests-related risks are assessed

F2.1a

(F2.1a) Select the options that best describe your procedures for identifying and assessing forests-related risks.
Timber products

Value chain stage
Supply chain

Coverage
Full

Risk assessment procedure
Assessed as part of an established enterprise risk management framework

Frequency of assessment
Annually

How far into the future are risks considered?
> 6 years

Tools and methods used
Internal company methods
External consultants
Other, please specify (WWF’s Global 200 priority ecoregions, Ramsar Sites, World Database on Protected Areas, and Alliance for Zero Extinction (AZE) sites)

Please explain
All corporate risks, including any deforestation risks associated with timber, are evaluated in our enterprise risk management framework. It is led by our Risk Committee and addresses a wide range of topics including environmental and social factors. Risk analysis is presented at the quarterly Board Audit Committee meeting. Additionally, as part of our supply chain management process, we survey our business units around the world regarding their progress against our sustainable fiber sourcing goal annually. This frequency is based on the data needs to help us manage our efforts as well as our public reporting cycle. This helps us identify potential deforestation risk relating to meeting our goal of 100% sustainable sourcing by the end of 2022. In addition, in 2018 we completed a long-term Supply Chain Sustainability Risk Assessment with WWF to identify and prioritize the environmental and social risks attributed to key commodities, including forest risks commodities. We continued work in 2019-2020 with WWF by conducting a landscape analysis for Brazil Tools, methods, and data sources referenced for biodiversity and forest risk include: WWF’s Global 200 priority ecoregions, Ramsar Sites, World Database on Protected Areas, and Alliance for Zero Extinction (AZE) sites. We are currently working with WWF to develop additional measures regarding sustainable circular material systems.

Palm oil

Value chain stage
Supply chain

Coverage
Full

Risk assessment procedure
Assessed as part of an established enterprise risk management framework

Frequency of assessment
Annually

How far into the future are risks considered?
> 6 years

Tools and methods used
Internal company methods
External consultants
Other, please specify (WWF’s Global 200 priority ecoregions, Ramsar Sites, World Database on Protected Areas, and Alliance for Zero Extinction (AZE) sites)

Please explain
All corporate risks, including any deforestation risks associated with palm oil, are evaluated in our enterprise risk management framework. It is led by our Risk Committee and addresses a wide range of topics including environmental and social factors. Risk analysis is presented at the quarterly Board Audit Committee meeting. Additionally, as part of our supply chain management process, we survey our business units around the world regarding their progress against our sustainable fiber sourcing goal annually. This frequency is based on the data needs to help us manage our efforts as well as our public reporting cycle. This helps us identify potential deforestation risk remaining relating to meeting our commitment to source 100% sustainable palm oil for cooking. We met this goal for the first time in 2019 using RSPO certified palm oil and continued this achievement in 2020. In addition, in 2018 we completed a long-term Supply Chain Sustainability Risk Assessment with WWF to identify and prioritize the environmental and social risks attributed to key commodities, including forest risks commodities. We are currently working with WWF to develop additional measures regarding sustainable circular material systems.
Cattle products

Value chain stage
Supply chain

Coverage
Full

Risk assessment procedure
Assessed as part of an established enterprise risk management framework

Frequency of assessment
Annually

How far into the future are risks considered?
> 6 years

Tools and methods used
Internal company methods
External consultants

Please explain
All corporate risks, including any deforestation risks associated with cattle, are evaluated in our enterprise risk management framework. It is led by our Enterprise Risk Committee and addresses a wide range of topics including environmental and social factors. Risk analysis is presented at the quarterly Board Audit Committee meeting. Additionally, as part of our supply chain management process, we survey our business units around the world regarding their progress against our sustainable fiber sourcing goal annually. This frequency is based on the data needs to help us manage our efforts as well as our public reporting cycle. This helps us identify potential deforestation risk remaining relating to meeting our commitments under the New York Declaration of Forests. In addition, in 2018 we completed a long-term Supply Chain Sustainability Risk Assessment with WWF to identify and prioritize the environmental and social risks attributed to key commodities, including forest risks commodities. Tools, methods, and data sources referenced for biodiversity and forest risk include: WWF’s Global 200 priority ecoregions, Ramsar Sites, World Database on Protected Areas, and Alliance for Zero Extinction (AZE) sites. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability.

F2.1b

(F2.1b) Which of the following issues are considered in your organization’s forests-related risk assessment(s)?

Availability of forest risk commodities

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
As part of our supply chain management processes, we evaluate current and projected changes in commodity availability and quality, including palm oil, fiber (timber) used in our paper-based packaging and beef. We assess risk related to availability and quality of these commodities according to their supplier classification, which is based on multiple factors, including food safety risk, brand criticality (e.g. required for a core product), and financial impact (e.g. percentage of total spend and/or volume needed). Information related to the availability of forest risk commodities is used within sustainability governance structures and processes to inform decision-making. For example, due to the global risk of deforestation our sustainability, risk, and leadership teams decided to strengthen our commitment to protect forests by endorsing the New York Declaration on Forests.

Quality of forest risk commodities

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
As part of our supply chain management processes, we evaluate current and projected changes in commodity availability and quality, including palm oil, fiber (timber) used in our paper-based packaging and beef. We assess risk related to availability and quality of these commodities according to their supplier classification, which is based on multiple factors, including food safety risk, brand criticality (e.g. required for a core product), and financial impact (e.g. percentage of total spend and/or volume needed). Information related to the quality of forest risk commodities is used within sustainability governance structures and processes to inform decision-making. For example, due to the global risk of deforestation our sustainability, risk, and leadership teams decided to strengthen our commitment to protect forests by endorsing the New York Declaration on Forests. We include such issues on an as-needed basis with our Risk Committee and groups in the governance structure. The Risk Committee is responsible for the evaluation and as appropriate, reporting of possible corporate risk to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for inclusion in our public filings. It looks at short, medium and long-term risks, prioritizes risks based on a number of factors that impact the business including, but not limited to, financial, operational and reputational factors.
Impact of activity on the status of ecosystems and habitats

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
We rely on third-party certification to achieve our sustainable sourcing goals and policies. The RSPO certification for palm oil and FSC, SFI, and PEFC certifications for timber allow us to evaluate current impacts of the palm oil and timber (fiber for paper-based packaging) we source on ecosystems and habitats. Because we’re still working towards our fiber-based packaging goal and only portions of our system utilize third-party certification, impact on ecosystems and habitats is only covered for those suppliers, facilities, or product lines with certification. This was included in 2018 Supply Chain Sustainability Risk Assessment completed with WWF which addresses the potential impacts of our palm oil and timber sourcing on ecosystems and habitats. For example, due to the global risk of deforestation our sustainability, risk, and leadership teams decided to strengthen our commitment to protect forests by endorsing the New York Declaration on Forests. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability. This involves reviewing current and future plastics and packaging use, trade-off analysis for design decisions and infrastructure improvement opportunities. We include such issues on an as-needed basis with our Risk Committee and groups in the governance structure. The Risk Committee is responsible for the evaluation and as appropriate, reporting of possible corporate risk to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for inclusion in our public filings. It looks at short, medium and long-term risks, prioritizes risks based on a number of factors that impact the business including, but not limited to, financial, operational and reputational factors.

Regulation
Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
As a publicly-traded, multinational corporation, we continually assess changes to local regulations associated with our activities in local markets, including with regard to our sourcing of palm oil and timber. For example, the European Parliament passed a resolution in April 2017 aiming to limit the import of palm oil that has caused deforestation and new sustainability criteria for palm oil entering the European market, potentially leading to binding legislation in Europe. After closely monitoring developments in this area, it was downgraded as a risk factor as the regulation only applies to palm oil used as biofuel. Information related to regulations is used within sustainability governance structures and processes to inform decision-making. For example, due to the global risk of deforestation our sustainability, risk, and leadership teams decided to strengthen our commitment to protect forests by endorsing the New York Declaration on Forests. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability. This involves reviewing current and future plastics and packaging use, trade-off analysis for design decisions and infrastructure improvement opportunities. We include such issues on an as-needed basis with our Risk Committee and groups in the governance structure. The Risk Committee is responsible for the evaluation and as appropriate, reporting of possible corporate risk to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for inclusion in our public filings. It looks at short, medium and long-term risks, prioritizes risks based on a number of factors that impact the business including, but not limited to, financial, operational and reputational factors.

Climate change
Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
Our current sustainable sourcing of palm oil and timber is intended to reduce deforestation risk and therefore mitigate our greenhouse gas emissions and impact on climate change. Emissions from packaging has been included in our science-based target goal. We are striving to reduce emissions from scope 3 sources by 46% by 2030, using a 2019 baseline, on a per metric ton basis. This was also included in our 2018 Supply Chain Sustainability Risk Assessment completed with WWF which addresses the potential impacts of our palm oil and timber sourcing on ecosystems and habitats. We are continuing to evaluate impact of our purchasing in Brazil with WWF. Information related to climate change is used within sustainability governance structures and processes to inform decision-making. For example, due to the global risk of deforestation our sustainability, risk, and leadership teams decided to strengthen our commitment to protect forests by endorsing the New York Declaration on Forests. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability. This involves reviewing current and future plastics and packaging use, trade-off analysis for design decisions and infrastructure improvement opportunities. We include such issues on an as-needed basis with our Risk Committee and groups in the governance structure. The Risk Committee is responsible for the evaluation and as appropriate, reporting of possible corporate risk to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for inclusion in our public filings. It looks at short, medium and long-term risks, prioritizes risks based on a number of factors that impact the business including, but not limited to, financial, operational and reputational factors.

Impact on water security
Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
The impact of our forest related activities on watersheds is included in our planning. Our ongoing commitment to source 100% of our palm oil used for cooking from responsible and sustainable sources and to purchase 100% of paper-based packaging with fiber from responsibly managed forests and recycled sources by the end of 2022 can have a direct impact on forest health, watersheds, and water security through helping to stabilize soils, reduce erosion, regulate water flow, and purify water sources. Information related to the impact on water security is used within sustainability governance structures and processes to inform decision-making. For example, due to the global risk of deforestation our sustainability, risk, and leadership teams decided to strengthen our commitment to protect forests by endorsing the New York Declaration on Forests. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability. This involves reviewing current and future plastics and packaging use, trade-off analysis for design decisions and infrastructure improvement opportunities. We include such issues on an as-needed basis with our Risk Committee and groups in the governance structure. The Risk Committee is responsible for the evaluation and as appropriate, reporting of possible corporate risk to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for inclusion in our public filings. It looks at short, medium and long-term risks, prioritizes risks based on a number of factors that impact the business including, but not limited to, financial, operational and reputational factors.
Tariffs or price increases

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
Financial impact – including tariffs or price increases – is one of the key guidelines we use to assess supply risk. We subscribe to commodity services that provide regular updates on changes in production and pricing in different regions around the world. We also conduct our own internal monitoring of international trade policy and engage in advocacy and partnerships around trade issues of mutual concern. Information related to tariffs or price increases is used within sustainability governance structures and processes to inform decision-making. For example, due to the global risk of deforestation our sustainability, risk, and leadership teams decided to strengthen our commitment to protect forests by endorsing the New York Declaration on Forests. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability. This involves reviewing current and future plastics and packaging use, trade-off analysis for design decisions and infrastructure improvement opportunities. We include such issues on an as-needed basis with our Risk Committee and groups in the governance structure. The Risk Committee is responsible for the evaluation and as appropriate, reporting of possible corporate risk to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for inclusion in our public filings. It looks at short, medium and long-term risks, prioritizes risks based on a number of factors that impact the business including, but not limited to, financial, operational and reputational factors.

Loss of markets

Relevance & inclusion
Not general, explanation provided

Please explain
In general, we assess the feasibility of starting and maintaining operations in a new market, but not potential loss of market due to deforestation. Loss of market due to deforestation has not been a significant risk in the past, nor is it anticipated to be in the future, compared to other potential risks to loss of market.

Leakage markets

Relevance & inclusion
Not relevant, explanation provided

Please explain
In general, we assess the feasibility of starting and maintaining operations in a new market and commence market activity in a phased approach in an effort to test and maintain its sustainability. Based on this approach, leakage markets have not been a significant risk in the past, nor is it anticipated to be in the future. Our governance mechanisms and compliance monitoring help to assure that we follow all applicable laws and regulations, including local regulations associated with our activities in local markets.

Brand damage related to forest risk commodities

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
Because of the sensitivity around forest risk commodities, we continually assess brand damage related to these commodities and leverage our progress surveys to determine the level of potential risk measured against certain external factors we track such as complaints or campaigns against major suppliers or regions of forest risk commodities. Potential risk of brand damage is also evaluated as part of our Global Supply Chain Management Protocol, which assesses the importance of the commodity to the brand (e.g., used in a core product, lack of ingredient will result in loss of sales). Information related to brand damage is used within sustainability governance structures and processes to inform decision-making. For example, due to the global risk of deforestation our sustainability, risk, and leadership teams decided to strengthen our commitment to protect forests by endorsing the New York Declaration on Forests. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability. This involves reviewing current and future plastics and packaging use, trade-off analysis for design decisions and infrastructure improvement opportunities. We include such issues on an as-needed basis with our Risk Committee and groups in the governance structure. The Risk Committee is responsible for the evaluation and as appropriate, reporting of possible corporate risk to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for inclusion in our public filings. It looks at short, medium and long-term risks, prioritizes risks based on a number of factors that impact the business including, but not limited to, financial, operational and reputational factors.

Corruption

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
Our Supplier Code of Conduct requires that all applicable local laws and regulations are adhered to, including anti-corruption protections. We continually audit and monitor for compliance with these criteria as part of standard procedures. Information related to corruption is used within sustainability governance structures and processes to inform decision-making. For example, due to the global risk of deforestation our sustainability, risk, and leadership teams decided to strengthen our commitment to protect forests by endorsing the New York Declaration on Forests. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability. This involves reviewing current and future plastics and packaging use, trade-off analysis for design decisions and infrastructure improvement opportunities. We include such issues on an as-needed basis with our Risk Committee and groups in the governance structure. The Risk Committee is responsible for the evaluation and as appropriate, reporting of possible corporate risk to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for inclusion in our public filings. It looks at short, medium and long-term risks, prioritizes risks based on a number of factors that impact the business including, but not limited to, financial, operational and reputational factors.
Social impacts

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
Our current sustainable sourcing and third-party certification of palm oil and timber is intended to mitigate potential social impacts. For example, our Palm Oil Sourcing Policy is consistent with the RSPO Principle of Free, Prior, and Informed Consent to prevent and resolve social and/or land conflicts. In addition to our existing sustainable sourcing strategy, we are currently conducting a Supply Chain Sustainability Risk Assessment which addresses the potential social impacts of our palm oil and timber sourcing. Additionally, in 2020, we expanded our existing relationship with Sedex, to further strengthen our human rights auditing practices in our supply chain. Information related to social impacts is used within sustainability governance structures and processes to inform decision-making. For example, due to the global risk of deforestation our sustainability, risk, and leadership teams decided to strengthen our commitment to protect forests by endorsing the New York Declaration on Forests. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability. This involves reviewing current and future plastics and packaging use, trade-off analysis for design decisions and infrastructure improvement opportunities. We include such issues on an as-needed basis with our Risk Committee and groups in the governance structure. The Risk Committee is responsible for the evaluation and as appropriate, reporting of possible corporate risk to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for inclusion in our public filings. It looks at short, medium and long-term risks, prioritizes risks based on a number of factors that impact the business including, but not limited to, financial, operational and reputational factors.

Other, please specify
Relevance & inclusion
Not considered

Please explain
We do not consider any additional issues at this time.

F2.1c

(F2.1c) Which of the following stakeholders are considered in your organization’s forests-related risk assessments?

Customers

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
As a company comprised of customer-facing brands, customers are one of our most important stakeholder groups and we are constantly monitoring and measuring insights to meet their expectations. While we don’t frequently receive direct feedback from customers regarding palm oil, timber and beef sourcing we respond to the occasional inquiry, publicly disclose our sourcing commitments, and track changing customer awareness and expectations. For example, we utilize internal dedicated resources called Collider Lab and the Social Hive to conduct media and research studies to analyze trends as a method of engagement. In addition to direct feedback we do understand broader trends that show the customers want corporations to be aware of our impact on forests and to act a steward of our shared environment.

Employees

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
Because the supply chain management function is responsible for global sourcing, they also provide key input on forests-related risk assessments such as priority commodities, countries of origin, and other potential supply risks. In addition, we included corporate employee perspectives on forests-related issues as a method of engagement in our past corporate materiality assessment as well as the assessment completed in 2021. Employees want to know that we are acting responsibly and doing our part to reduce our impact on the environment, including forests.

Investors

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
Many sustainable, responsible and impact (SRI) investors incorporate companies’ preparedness and exposure to forests risks into their investment theses and therefore include this stakeholder. There are several methods of engagement. In 2019, we received a shareholder proposal related to deforestation in our supply chain and engaged in a dialogue with the proponents. Yum! Brands also monitors investors’ forests-related concerns by attending relevant panels at conferences hosted by investor forums like Ceres and USSIF. We also track our scores on investor publications that monitor our environmental performance, like MSCI IVA and Sustainalytics reports, to enable investors having the correct and most up-to-date information about our sustainability performance. In addition, we included investor perspectives on forests-related issues as a method of engagement in our past corporate materiality assessment as well as the assessment completed in 2021.

Local communities

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
Given the importance of forest issues to many local communities, sustainable sourcing and third-party certification of palm oil and timber that we use is intended to mitigate potential social impacts including local communities. For example, the RSPO Principle of Free, Prior, and Informed Consent that works to prevent and resolve social and/or land conflicts within local communities. In addition to our existing sustainable sourcing strategy, we are conducted a Supply Chain Sustainability Risk Assessment which addresses the potential social impacts of our palm oil and timber sourcing. In Brazil we have been conducting a landscape analysis to better understand the impact of our activities. We understand the role we play in local communities in our supply chain.
NGOs

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
Several prominent NGOs evaluate Yum! Brands’ sustainability performance and engage with us on forests-related issues and therefore include this stakeholder group. We engaged with an NGO during our 2018 Supply Chain Sustainability Risk Assessment to leverage their expertise and embed their recommendations. We also participate in several multi-stakeholder roundtables such as RSPO and USRSB where NGOs are engaged. Yum! Brands listens to NGOs’ forests-related concerns in personal dialogues, industry conferences, and the media as they arise. In addition, we included NGO perspectives on forests-related issues as a method of engagement in our past corporate materiality assessment as well as the assessment completed in 2021. NGOs have also been a vital part of discussions related to shareholder proposals in 2019. These discussions are part of our engagement method.

Other forest risk commodity users/producers at a local level

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
Our brands will engage with other forest risk commodity users/producers if they express an interest, if there are opportunities to collaborate on shared issues or risks, and/or if required by local regulations. This can take the form of direct discussions with the suppliers in partnership with franchisees to address issues. In the past we have met with a supplier not meeting our palm oil procurement practices in Southeast Asia. We also engage with producers through our participation in organizations such as RSPO.

Regulators

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
The Yum! Brands Government Affairs team monitors emerging forests-related regulations and engages regulators on these issues when they are relevant to the success of our business operations and supply chain.

Suppliers

Relevance & inclusion
Relevant, always included

Please explain
Suppliers are an important partner in our business, and we consider their perspectives in our forest related studies. An important method of engagement is our annual sustainability survey where we work to gather data in support of key performance indicators. Our annual supplier surveys request information regarding the status of certification schemes, traceability, and any gaps or challenges in transitioning supply so that we can engage with suppliers regarding these risks. Any forests-related issues that cause or have the potential to cause supply chain impacts would be evaluated by our supply chain team. In 2018 a palm oil supplier, Indofood, was removed from our supply chain as part of our commitment to only working with suppliers who meet our sourcing principles. Suppliers are required to meet our product specifications and sourcing policies including environmental protection.

Other stakeholders, please specify

Relevance & inclusion
Not considered

Please explain
We do not consider any additional stakeholders at this time.

F3. Risks and opportunities

F3.1

(F3.1) Have you identified any inherent forests-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Risk identified?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F3.1a
(F3.1a) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

The Audit Committee discusses risk management during regular committee meetings and receives risk review reports covering significant areas of risk from senior managers responsible for these functional areas, as well as reports from the General Counsel and the Vice President, Internal Audit. The Audit Committee then provides a summary to the full board. The duties of the Chief Sustainability Officer include the representation of sustainability issues, including forest related issues, on the Yum! risk committee. This senior level committee is responsible for the evaluation and as appropriate, reporting of possible corporate risk to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for inclusion in our public filings. This committee, which looks at short, medium and long-term risks, prioritizes risks based on a number of factors that impact the business including, but not limited to, financial, operational and reputational factors. More specifically, the size and scope of the potential impact are considered as are the possible duration, and whether the impact is likely to be a one-time occurrence or recurring in nature. We then consider the effect of the risk on business strategy given the risks and opportunities in both the short and long term. Therefore, forest related risks, which are embodied at the restaurant and supply chain level, are incorporated into the Company’s broader risk management process.

For the current reporting cycle, CDP has requested information regarding risks that are substantive at the corporate level and not those at the facility or business unit level. As a global, decentralized, and franchise-focused organization with a diverse supply chain, many risks (such as forests) can be important yet not rise to the provided benchmark of being financially or strategically important at a material, substantive level to the corporation. There is no single definition of what would rise to this level but for a corporation such as Yum!, a system impact (including our direct operations, supply chain, or both) of approximately 5% of net income for the annual reporting period could be considered substantive at the corporate level. While we do not believe that individual instances of forests-related risk would constitute a substantive risk to the corporation on their own due to the total size and decentralized nature of our organization as well as our ability to source from different regions of the globe if it became necessary, the potential cumulative impact of forests-related risks could become substantive over the long term.

(F3.1b) For your disclosed forest risk commodity(ies), provide details of risks identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and your response to those risks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of risk</td>
<td>Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographical scale</td>
<td>Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where in your value chain does the risk driver occur?</td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary risk driver</td>
<td>Declining ecosystem services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary potential impact</td>
<td>Supply chain disruption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Company-specific description**

Shortages or interruptions in the availability and delivery of food and other supplies: The packaging by our Concepts and their franchisees are sourced from a wide variety of domestic and international suppliers. We, along with our Concepts’ franchisees, are also dependent upon third parties to make frequent deliveries of supplies that meet our specifications at competitive prices. Shortages or interruptions to our Concepts’ restaurants could adversely affect the availability, quality and cost of items we use and the operations of our restaurants. Such shortages or disruptions could be caused by inclement weather, natural disasters, other a variety of other issues. Climate change influences several physical risk drivers that negatively impact timber production, including extreme weather events such as tropical cyclones and changes in precipitation and temperature, forest fires, loss of ecosystem services, reduced crop yields, and thus, availability of certified sustainable material, which is already limited. Inherent social risks such as land tenure changes and disputes, community opposition, and litigation/grievances also present risks, but have a greater potential to be mitigated than physical risk. Our supply chain group monitors potential shortages and/or interruptions so that alternative supply sources can be obtained if needed. An advantage of our global supply chain is the ability to adapt to localized shortages and/or interruptions.

**Timeframe**

>6 years

**Magnitude of potential impact**

Medium-low

**Likelihood**

About as likely as not

**Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?**

No, we do not have this figure

**Potential financial impact (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Explanation of financial**

A shortage or disruption in the availability of supplies could increase costs and limit the availability of products critical to restaurant operations, which in turn could lead to restaurant closures and/or a decrease in sales. In addition, failure by a key supplier or distributor for our Concepts and/or our Concepts’ franchisees to meet its service requirements could lead to a disruption of service or supply until a new supplier or distributor is engaged, and any disruption could have an adverse effect on our business. Impact of any event would be determined by geographical extent and severity of the drought. Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact. Our ability to source from a diversified supplier base helps to minimize potential impacts.
Primary response to risk: Increased use of sustainably sourced materials

Description of response
In 2014 we established our sustainable paper-based packaging goal to purchase 100% of paper-based packaging with fiber from responsibly managed forests and recycled sources by the end of 2020. As of 2020, we transitioned 81% of our supply and believe that this has been an effective response in helping us to mitigate supply chain disruptions attributed to declining ecosystem services. We have extended this goal to 2022. By making progress against this goal, we are improving our resilience to ecosystem vulnerability with the potential to impact our packaging supply. We work continually to maintain a diverse supply chain and positive relationships with supply chain partners by proactively establishing mitigation practices that include menu management and portfolio diversification. This minimizes the impacts on any one part of a country or distribution system due to changes in physical climate parameters. Our approach to addressing this risk has been effective. Our supply chain is diverse and robust. We continue to work with our system to meet our sustainable fiber-based packaging goal.

Cost of response
Explanation of cost of response
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact of the cost of response. The cost of forest-risk management is largely included in broader and risk management efforts. Specific costs are primarily driven by investing in education and training of key stakeholders, especially our employees responsible for procurement, to adopt and implement our strategy to mitigate risk.

Forest risk commodity
Timber products

Type of risk
Regulatory

Geographical scale
Global

Where in your value chain does the risk driver occur?
Supply chain

Primary risk driver
Regulatory uncertainty

Primary potential impact
Increased production costs

Company-specific description
Our concepts and their franchisees are subject to numerous laws and regulations around the world. These laws change regularly and are increasingly complex. These include many areas of sustainability including but not limited to environmental laws and regulations, health, sanitation, food, and workplace safety. These regulations are applicable, for timber, especially international and national trade agreements and legislation, moratoria, import tariffs, reporting obligations, and product regulations and standards. Publicity relating to any such noncompliance could also harm our reputation and adversely affect our revenues.

Timeframe
>6 years

Magnitude of potential impact
Low

Likelihood
Unlikely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial
Compliance with new or existing laws and regulations could impact our or our Concepts’ franchisees’ operations. The compliance costs associated with these laws and regulations could result in higher forest products costs. Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact. This could either directly impact restaurants or be embedded in the supply chain.

Primary response to risk: Increased use of sustainably sourced materials

Description of response
In 2014, we established our sustainable paper-based packaging goal to purchase 100% of paper-based packaging with fiber from responsibly managed forests and recycled sources by the end of 2020. As of 2020, we transitioned 81% of our supply and believe that this has been an effective response in helping us mitigate increased production costs attributed to regulatory uncertainty. We have extended this goal to 2022. By making progress against this goal, we are improving our resilience to ecosystem vulnerability with the potential to impact our packaging supply. We work continually to maintain a diverse supply chain and positive relationships with supply chain partners by proactively establishing mitigation practices that include menu management and portfolio diversification. This minimizes the impacts on any one part of a country or distribution system due to changes in physical climate parameters. Our approach to addressing this risk has been effective. Our supply chain is diverse and robust. We continue to work with our system to meet our sustainable fiber-based packaging goal.

Cost of response
Explanation of cost of response
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact of the cost of response. The cost of forest-risk management is largely included in broader and risk management efforts. Specific costs are primarily driven by investing in education and training of key stakeholders, especially our employees responsible for procurement, to adopt and implement our strategy to mitigate risk.
### Forest risk commodity
Timber products

### Type of risk
Reputational and markets

### Geographical scale
Global

### Where in your value chain does the risk driver occur?
Supply chain

### Primary risk driver
Increased cost of certified sustainable material

### Primary potential impact
Increased production costs

#### Company-specific description
Because of the sensitive and controversial nature of forest-based commodities, we are exposed to inherent reputational risks such as negative media coverage, consumer market campaigns, community opposition, and land tenure changes and disputes. Our success depends in large part upon our ability and our Concepts' franchisees' ability to maintain and enhance the value of our brands and our customers' loyalty to our brands. Brand value is based in part on consumer perceptions on a variety of subjective qualities. Business incidents, whether isolated or recurring, and whether originating from us, franchisees, competitors, suppliers or distributors, can significantly reduce brand value and consumer trust, particularly if the incidents receive considerable publicity or result in litigation. For example, our Concepts' brands could be damaged by claims or perceptions about the quality or safety of our products or the quality or reputation of our suppliers, distributors or franchisees, regardless of whether such claims or perceptions are true. Similarly, entities in our supply chain may engage in conduct, human rights abuses or environmental wrongdoing, and any such conduct could damage our or our Concepts' brands' reputations. The risk for this driver occurs in both Direct Operations and in the Supply Chain as reputation is important for all components of our value chain. As such it is important to all of stakeholders including customers and investors.

#### Timeframe
>6 years

#### Magnitude of potential impact
Medium-low

#### Likelihood
Unlikely

#### Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

#### Potential financial impact (currency)
<Not Applicable>

#### Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

#### Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

#### Explanation of financial
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact. The financial implications of a damaged reputation could negatively impact our market share, stock price and brand equity. For example, same-store sales for China declined 18% in the fourth quarter of 2014 following a supply chain issue in July of that year which adversely impacted our reputation with our customers.

#### Primary response to risk
Engagement with suppliers

#### Description of response
We have resources including our Chief Sustainability Officer, Chief Communications Officer, and General Counsel to actively monitor and engage in this area. Brand teams are focused on building four iconic brands people trust and champion. To deliver this commitment to our consumers, our teams focus on developing great-tasting product options at affordable prices built on our rigorous quality and safety standards. We proactively publicly communicate the Company position on being good corporate stewards. Our Citizenship and Sustainability Report and other public disclosures such as CDP. For example, when launching we have held multiple Twitter Chats through a third-party media company called Triple Pundit to share our progress against key environmental goals to engaged stakeholders to demonstrate our commitment to transparency and maintaining a positive brand position. In addition, we regularly engage with stakeholders, including suppliers, to support our Enterprise-wide goal of sustainable sourcing of fiber for paper-based packaging and to better understand potential changes in supply and new product innovations. By continuing our supplier engagement and monitoring the progress against our sustainable sourcing goals, we are improving our resilience to reputational risks with the potential to impact our packaging supply.

#### Cost of response

#### Explanation of cost of response
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact of the cost of response. The cost of forest-risk management is largely included in broader and risk management efforts. Specific costs are primarily driven by investing in education and training of key stakeholders, especially our employees responsible for procurement, to adopt and implement our strategy to mitigate risk.

### Forest risk commodity
Palm oil

### Type of risk
Physical

### Geographical scale
Global

### Where in your value chain does the risk driver occur?
Supply chain
Primary risk driver
Declining ecosystem services

Primary potential impact
Supply chain disruption

Company-specific description
Shortages or interruptions in the availability and delivery of food and other supplies: The palm oil used by our Concepts and their franchisees is sourced from a wide variety of domestic and international suppliers. We, along with our Concepts' franchisees, are also dependent upon third parties to make frequent deliveries of supplies that meet our specifications at competitive prices. Shortages or interruptions to our Concepts' restaurants (caused by inclement weather, natural disasters, or other) could adversely affect the availability, quality and cost of palm oil we use and the operations of our restaurants. Climate change influences several physical risk drivers that could negatively impact production, including extreme weather events such as tropical cyclones and changes in precipitation and temperature, forest fires, loss of ecosystem services, reduced crop yields, and thus, availability of certified sustainable material, which is already limited. Inherent social risks such as land tenure changes and disputes, community opposition, and litigation/grievances also present risks, but have a greater potential to be mitigated than physical risk. Our supply chain group monitors potential shortages and/or interruptions so that alternative supply sources can be identified if needed. An advantage of our global supply chain is the ability to adapt to localized shortages and/or interruptions. Our approach to addressing this risk has been effective.

Timeframe
>6 years

Magnitude of potential impact
Medium-low

Likelihood
Unlikely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial
A shortage or interruption in the availability of supplies could increase costs and limit the availability of products critical to restaurant operations, which in turn could lead to restaurant closures and/or a decrease in sales. In addition, failure by a key supplier or distributor for our Concepts and/or our Concepts' franchisees to meet its service requirements could lead to a disruption of service or supply until a new supplier or distributor is engaged, and any disruption could have an adverse effect on our business. Impact of any event would be determined by geographical extent and severity of the drought. Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact. Our ability to source from a diversified supplier base helps to minimize potential impacts.

Primary response to risk
Increased use of sustainably sourced materials

Description of response
In 2015, we established our sustainable palm oil sourcing policy and goal of sourcing 100% of our palm oil from responsible and sustainable sources by the end of 2018. Starting in 2019 and continuing in 2020, we are sourcing 100% of our palm oil supply for cooking from sustainable sources (RSPO Certified) and believe that this has been an effective response to mitigate supply chain disruptions attributed to declining ecosystem services. By making progress against this goal, we are improving our resilience to ecosystem vulnerability with the potential to impact our palm oil supply. By making progress against this goal, we are improving our resilience to ecosystem vulnerability with the potential to impact our palm oil supply. We work continually to maintain a diverse supply chain and positive relationships with supply chain partners by proactively establishing mitigation practices that include menu management and portfolio diversification. This minimizes the impacts on any one part of a country or distribution system due to changes in physical climate parameters.

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact of the cost of response. The cost of forest-risk management is largely included in broader and risk management efforts. Specific costs are primarily driven by investing in education and training of key stakeholders, especially our employees responsible for procurement, to adopt and implement our strategy to mitigate risk.

Forest risk commodity
Palm oil

Type of risk
Regulatory

Geographical scale
Global

Where in your value chain does the risk driver occur?
Supply chain

Primary risk driver
Regulatory uncertainty

Primary potential impact
Increased production costs

Company-specific description
Our Concepts and their franchisees are subject to numerous laws and regulations around the world. These laws change regularly and are increasingly complex. These include many areas of sustainability including but not limited to environmental laws and regulations, health, sanitation, food, and workplace safety. These regulations are applicable, for timber, especially international and national trade agreements and legislation, moratoria, import tariffs, reporting obligations, and product regulations and standards. Publicity relating to any such noncompliance could also harm our reputation and adversely affect our revenues.
Timeframe
>6 years

Magnitude of potential impact
Low

Likelihood
Unlikely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial
Compliance with new or existing laws and regulations could impact our or our Concepts' franchisees' operations. The compliance costs associated with these laws and regulations could result in higher forest products costs. Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact. This could either directly impact restaurants or be embedded in the supply chain.

Primary response to risk
Increased use of sustainably sourced materials

Description of response
In 2015, we established our sustainable palm oil sourcing policy and goal of sourcing 100% of our palm oil from responsible and sustainable sources by the end of 2018. Starting in 2019 and continuing in 2020, we are sourcing 100% of our palm oil supply for cooking from sustainable sources (RSPO Certified) and believe that this has been an effective response to mitigate increased production costs attributed to regulatory uncertainty. By making progress against this goal, we are improving our resilience to ecosystem vulnerability with the potential to impact our palm oil supply. We work continually to maintain a diverse supply chain and positive relationships with supply chain partners by proactively establishing mitigation practices that include menu management and portfolio diversification. This minimizes the impacts on any one part of a country or distribution system due to changes in physical climate parameters.

Cost of response
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact of the cost of response. The cost of forest-risk management is largely included in broader and risk management efforts. Specific costs are primarily driven by investing in education and training of key stakeholders, especially our employees responsible for procurement, to adopt and implement our strategy to mitigate risk.

Forest risk commodity
Palm oil

Type of risk
Reputational and markets

Geographical scale
Global

Where in your value chain does the risk driver occur?
Supply chain

Primary risk driver
Increased cost of certified sustainable material

Primary potential impact
Increased production costs

Company-specific description
Because of the sensitive and controversial nature of forest-based commodities, we are exposed to inherent reputational risks such as negative media coverage, consumer market campaigns, community opposition, and land tenure changes and disputes. Our success depends in large part upon our ability and our Concepts' franchisees' ability to maintain and enhance the value of our brands and our customers' loyalty to our brands. Brand value is based in part on consumer perceptions on a variety of subjective qualities. Business incidents, whether isolated or recurring, and whether originating from us, franchisees, competitors, suppliers or distributors, can significantly reduce brand value and consumer trust, particularly if the incidents receive considerable publicity or result in litigation. For example, our Concepts' brands could be damaged by claims or perceptions about the quality or safety of our products or the quality or reputation of our suppliers, distributors or franchisees, regardless of whether such claims or perceptions are true. Similarly, entities in our supply chain may engage in conduct, human rights abuses or environmental wrongdoing, and any such conduct could damage our or our Concepts' brands' reputations. The risk for this driver occurs in both Direct Operations and in the Supply Chain as reputation is important for all components of our value chain. As such it is important to all of stakeholders including customers and investors.

Timeframe
>6 years

Magnitude of potential impact
Medium-low

Likelihood
Unlikely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact (currency)
<Not Applicable>
Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact. The financial implications of a damaged reputation could negatively impact our market share, stock price and brand equity. For example, same-store sales for China declined 18% in the fourth quarter of 2014 following a supply chain issue in July of that year which adversely impacted our reputation with our customers.

Primary response to risk
Engagement with suppliers

Description of response
We have resources including our Chief Sustainability Officer, Chief Communications Officer, General Counsel to actively monitor and engage in this area. Brand teams are focused on building four iconic brands people trust and champion. To deliver this commitment to our consumers, our teams focus on developing great-tasting product options at affordable prices built on our rigorous quality and safety standards. We proactively publicly communicate the Company position on being good corporate stewards. Our Citizenship and Sustainability Report and other public disclosures such as CDP. For example, when launching we have held multiple Twitter Chats through a third-party media company called Triple Pundit to share our progress against key environmental goals to engaged stakeholders to demonstrate our commitment to transparency and maintaining a positive brand position. In addition, we regularly engage with stakeholders, including suppliers, to support our Enterprise-wide goal of sustainable sourcing of fiber for paper-based packaging and to better understand potential changes in supply and new product innovations. By continuing our supplier engagement and monitoring the progress against our sustainable sourcing goals, we are improving our resilience to reputational risks with the potential to impact our packaging supply.

Cost of response
Explanation of cost of response
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact of the cost of response. The cost of forest-risk management is largely included in broader and risk management efforts. Specific costs are primarily driven by investing in education and training of key stakeholders, especially our employees responsible for procurement, to adopt and implement our strategy to mitigate risk.

Forest risk commodity
Cattle products

Type of risk
Reputational and markets

Geographical scale
Global

Where in your value chain does the risk driver occur?
Supply chain

Primary risk driver
Shifts in consumer preference

Primary potential impact
Brand damage

Company-specific description
Concerns regarding the sustainability of beef, including its real and/or perceived impact deforestation, could lead to a change in consumer preferences. Taco Bell has a significant number of menu items with beef-based products. A shift away from beef could result in a change in operational approach for the brand.

Timeframe
4-6 years

Magnitude of potential impact
Medium-low

Likelihood
About as likely as not

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact.

Primary response to risk
More ambitious forest-related commitments

Description of response
Our strategy begins by sourcing beef from origins of lower deforestation risk as much as possible. In 2020, over 93% of our beef volume was sourced from regions of lower deforestation risk. A second aspect of our approach is to offer non-beef menu options. Taco Bell has a robust offering of non-beef menu items that provides consumer options. They are making vegetarian options easier to access with “Veggie Mode”, a feature that transforms the menu on self-service kiosks in the U.S. to show only vegetation items. Our brands also continue to explore and test meat substitutes. Taco Bell, our leader in beef volume, is also our leader in beef substitutes with menu items like the “Oatrageous Taco”. In the United States, Pizza Hut was the first national pizza company to offer plant-based meat across the country. At this time we are unable to provide the financial impact. As part of our efforts, Yum! endorsed the New Declaration on Frosts (NYDF) and believe it is an important step toward us meeting the private sector goal of elimination deforestation from the production of agricultural commodities and halving the rate of loss of natural forests globally by 2020 and striving...
to end natural forest loss by 2030. We are continuing to expand reporting in this space. Yum! has worked with WWF to conduct a global supply chain risk assessment across our food / agricultural commodities and on a Brazil landscape assessment that will inform our future sourcing strategy and reporting.

Cost of response

Explanation of cost of response
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this risk it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact of the cost of response. The cost of forest-risk management is largely included in broader and risk management efforts. Specific costs are primarily driven by investing in education and training of key stakeholders, especially our employees responsible for procurement, to adopt and implement our strategy to mitigate risk.

F3.2

(F3.2) Have you identified any forests-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Have you identified opportunities?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F3.2a

(F3.2a) For your selected forest risk commodity(ies), provide details of the identified opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

Forest risk commodity
Timber products

Type of opportunity
Markets

Where in your value chain does the opportunity occur?
Direct operation
Supply chain

Primary forests-related opportunity
Increased demand for certified materials

Company-specific description & strategy to realize opportunity
In order to realize this opportunity, which may result in greater production of certified material and possibly lower costs, our strategy is to continue to work toward our published goals to prevent deforestation, including our commitment to source 100% sustainable fiber-based packaging by 2022 as well the commitments made through our endorsement of the New York Declaration on Forests. Our annual Yum! sustainability survey provides data so that the brands as a tool to manage progress toward achieving these goals as well as to provide the opportunity to engage with suppliers on the topic. We will continue to communicate and inform stakeholders on our progress.

Estimated timeframe for realization
1-3 years

Magnitude of potential impact
Low

Likelihood
More likely than not

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact figure
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this opportunity it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact. The financial implications of a driving demand for sustainable materials could positively impact our ability to source sustainable material and meet external stakeholder expectations to fulfill our public goal of sourcing 100% sustainable fiber for paper-based packaging by the end of 2022.

Forest risk commodity
Palm oil

Type of opportunity
Markets

Where in your value chain does the opportunity occur?
Primary forests-related opportunity
Increased demand for certified materials

Company-specific description & strategy to realize opportunity
In order to realize this opportunity, which may result in greater production of certified material and possibly lower costs, we will continue execute against our published intent to prevent deforestation, including our commitment to continue to source 100% sustainable palm oil for cooking as well the commitments made through our endorsement of the New York Declaration on Forests. Our annual Yum! sustainability survey provides data so that the brands as a tool to manage progress toward achieving these goals as well as to provide the opportunity to engage with suppliers on the topic. We will continue to communicate and inform stakeholders on our progress.

Estimated timeframe for realization
4-6 years

Magnitude of potential impact
Low

Likelihood
Likely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact figure
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this opportunity it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact. Including more sustainably sources materials, has the potential to be looked at favorably by investors, consumers and other stakeholders.

Forest risk commodity
Cattle products

Type of opportunity
Products & services

Where in your value chain does the opportunity occur?
Direct operation
Supply chain

Primary forests-related opportunity
Increased brand value

Company-specific description & strategy to realize opportunity
We continue the exploration of non-beef-based menu items in response to consumer interest. Taco Bell, our leader in beef volume, is also our leader in vegetarian offerings including the development of products with meat substitutes. An example is our “Outrageous Taco” which is sold in European markets that contains a meat substitute.

Estimated timeframe for realization
>6 years

Magnitude of potential impact
Medium-low

Likelihood
More likely than not

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact figure
Due to the diverse and broad nature associated with this opportunity it is not possible to estimate the potential financial impact. The financial implications of a driving demand for sustainable meat substitute menu items could develop consumer interest in some markets.

F4. Governance
(F4.1) Is there board-level oversight of forests-related issues within your organization?

Yes

(F4.1a) Identify the position(s) of the individual(s) (do not include any names) on the board with responsibility for forests-related issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position of individual</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chief Executive Officer (CEO)</td>
<td>As the top operational decision-maker and member of the Board of Directors (BOD), the CEO has ultimate responsibility for forests-related issues, including goals for certified palm oil, fiber-based products, and cattle. The Audit Committee of the BOD is updated annually on the Company’s environmental commitments and progress. The BOD also receives weekly updates regarding ESG issues, news and trends that are applicable to our sector. Within the management structure, the CEO entrusts the CSO to drive our company forests strategy. This individual is responsible for: - Leveraging sustainability to promote business growth, drive brand equity and minimize business, social and financial risks of Yum!s global enterprise - Focusing on the scope of the business model for the entire global enterprise (Franchisee and Corporate) - Ensuring Yum! Brands operates efficiently and sustainably - Updating of the Audit Committee on an annual basis for environmental commitments and progress.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F4.1b) Provide further details on the board’s oversight of forests-related issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency that forests-related issues are a scheduled agenda item</th>
<th>Governance mechanisms into which forests-related issues are integrated</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled - some meetings</td>
<td>Monitoring implementation and performance</td>
<td>Yum! Brands believes that good corporate governance is a critical factor in achieving business success and embraces practices that align with management and shareholder interests. Oversight for environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues ultimately resides with the Yum! Brands Board of Directors, which is briefed through its Audit Committee on an annual basis. The brief typically is performed by our General Counsel and/or our Chief Sustainability Officer. The governance and management mechanisms that have been implemented contribute to board oversight on forest issues. We utilize these mechanisms to directly identify, evaluate, manage and track forest issues. Key topics for the reporting year included setting of our updated sustainability strategy and progress against goals including our forests-related targets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F4.2)
**F4.2** Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for forests-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Frequency of reporting to the board on forests-related issues</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)</strong></td>
<td>Both assessing and managing forests-related risks and opportunities</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>The Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO) is responsible for leading the execution of ESG, including forests-related issues. Oversight of forests-related issues falls within the individual’s responsibilities to promote centralized alignment and execution of our strategy. This position reports to the Global Chief Communications and Public Affairs Officer, with whom ESG strategy is set, and ultimately to the CEO. The CSO works with sustainability leads at each of the brands and Yum! corporate to execute the strategy. Duties include the annual representation of sustainability issues, including forests, on the Yum! risk committee. This senior level committee is responsible for the evaluation and as appropriate, annual reporting of possible corporate risk to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for inclusion in our public filings. The committee prioritizes risks based on factors that impact the business. The CSO typically presents metrics that relay the progress we have made toward our goals. The size and scope of the impacts are considered as are the possible duration, and whether the impact is likely to be a one-time occurrence or recurring in nature. We then consider the effect of the risk on business strategy given the risks / opportunities in both the short and long term. We maintain an ESG Working Group within Yum! corporate that engages in ongoing efforts such as our materiality assessment, disclosures to sustainability ratings agencies, and our citizenship report.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**F4.3**

**F4.3a** What incentives are provided to C-suite employees or board members for the management of forests-related issues (do not include the names of individuals)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role(s) entitled to incentive?</th>
<th>Performance indicator</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monetary reward</strong></td>
<td>Achievement of commitments and targets</td>
<td>The Chief Sustainability Officer is responsible for leading the execution of the Yum! sustainability strategy, including forests-related issues. Performance of the corporation is factored into incentives. Evaluation of performance starts with the annual sustainability survey to assist in evaluating progress of the corporation and continues through the end-of-year performance review. Forests-related goals are delegated to the appropriate business management units/divisions and teams within those business management units/divisions. Performance against these goals impact overall performance scores and compensation adjustments for employees who are accountable for the achievement of our goals. Monetary rewards (such as incentives) are distributed based on company performance and are awarded once we achieve our forests-related commitments and targets. Performance against these commitments and goals is reviewed and calculated on an annual basis and has historically been reported in our annual sustainability report. Employees who are accountable for the achievement of our goals are subject to receive various bonuses and compensation adjustments. These bonuses are calculated as a percentage of our salary and vary according to the level of leadership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-monetary reward</strong></td>
<td>Achievement of commitments and targets</td>
<td>Achievements that drive business results, including those that reduce the Company’s environmental impacts, are recognized by non-monetary recognition awards. Recognition is an integral part of the Yum! Brands culture and everyone across the Company is encouraged to celebrate the achievements of others. All leaders in the Company, including the Chief Sustainability Officer have unique personal recognition awards. Awards that have been given for progress in achieving sustainability targets include our “Positive Spark” award which has been presented to employees for their contributions toward our sustainability targets. For example, associates in China and France have received the “Positive Spark” award for sustainability initiatives such as LEED restaurant development. We also have given our “Green Apple” award for contributions toward sustainability education within the company. Individuals in the U.K., China, Australia and the United States have received this recognition. Employees who contribute to the success of Yum! Brands are eligible for the “Achieving Breakthrough Results” award. This award involves recognition, non-monetary rewards, and a monetary reward or equity grant for efforts that drive breakthrough results for the Company. It has been awarded to employees who are helping to achieve our sustainability targets through efforts such as our Citizenship Report and CDP responses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**F4.4** Did your organization include information about its response to forests-related risks in its most recent mainstream financial report?

Yes, we currently provide incentives for management of forests related issues. 

**F4.5** Does your organization have a policy that includes forests-related issues?

Yes, we have a documented forests policy that is publicly available.
Yum! Brands, Inc. is committed to our policies to prevent deforestation and forest degradation and have created them with the material content to drive improvement. All policies are public and apply to all stages of our value chain, including our equity restaurants, franchisees, and suppliers. For palm oil, we are committed to continuing to meet our commitment of using 100% certified product for cooking. We give preference to suppliers that are certified by the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO), and only source palm oil from suppliers whose operations meet our Palm Oil Policy principles, Yum! Brands Supplier Code of Conduct, and Human and Labor Rights Policy which address HCV, HCS, peatland, legality, certification, and human rights. For Timber, we implemented a Paper-Based Packaging Sourcing Policy which addresses HCV, legality, certification, recycled content, and human rights. We give preference to suppliers with third-party certification, the most rigorous of which is FSC. We also recognize other national certifications endorsed by the Programme for the Endorsement of Forestry Certification (PEFC) and the Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI), provided the fiber avoids the unwanted sources listed in our Sustainable Sourcing Principles. Our policy is publicly-available on the Citizenship section of our company webpage. We typically review and/or update the policy on a biannual basis. Our endorsement of the New York Declaration on Forests (NYDF) is a milestone. We believe that endorsing the NYDF is an important step forward and we look forward to supporting and helping to meet the global private sector goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agriculture commodities such as palm oil, soy, paper and beef products no later than 2020, in addition to at least halving the rate of loss of natural forest globally by 2020 and striving to end natural forest loss by 2030. Our policies inform our supply chain decision-making process. All suppliers are required to follow our policies as outlined in our Supplier Code of Conduct. Timber and palm oil suppliers have been removed from our system as a result of not addressing our policies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you have a commodity specific sustainability policy?</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yum! Brands, Inc. is committed to implementing our Paper-Based Packaging Sourcing policy to help prevent deforestation and forest degradation. This commitment is supported by our paper-based packaging sourcing principles, Yum! Brands Supplier Code of Conduct, and Human and Labor Rights Policy. Our current goal is to purchase 100% of paper-based packaging with fiber from responsibly managed forests and recycled sources by the end of 2022. We give preference to suppliers with third-party certification, the most rigorous of which is FSC. We also recognize other national certifications endorsed by the Programme for the Endorsement of Forestry Certification (PEFC) and the Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI), provided the fiber avoids the unwanted sources listed in our Sustainable Sourcing Principles. We survey our markets and suppliers on an annual basis to assess progress. This policy is publicly available and applies to all stages of our value chain, including our equity restaurants, franchisees, and suppliers. Our policy is publicly available on the Citizenship section of our company webpage. We review and/or update the policy on a biannual basis. Our endorsement of the New York Declaration on Forests (NYDF) is a milestone. We believe that endorsing the NYDF is an important step forward and we look forward to supporting and helping to meet the global private sector goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agriculture commodities such as palm oil, soy, paper and beef products no later than 2020, in addition to at least halving the rate of loss of natural forest globally by 2020 and striving to end natural forest loss by 2030.</td>
<td>Company-wide</td>
<td>Commitment to eliminate deforestation Commitment to protect rights and livelihoods of local communities Commitments beyond regulatory compliance Description of forest risk commodities, parts of the business, and stages of value chain covered by the policy List of timebound commitments and targets Description of forests-related performance standards for direct operations Description of forests-related standards for procurement Other, please specify (Reference to international standards and widely-recognized forests-related initiatives)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you have a commodity specific sustainability policy?</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Content</td>
<td>Please explain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Company-wide</td>
<td>Commitment to eliminate deforestation; Commitment to protect rights and livelihoods of local communities; Commitments beyond regulatory compliance; Description of forest risk commodities, parts of the business, and stages of value-chain covered by the policy; List of timebound commitments and targets; Description of forests-related performance standards for direct operations; Description of forests-related standards for procurement; Other, please specify (Reference to international standards and widely-recognized forests-related initiatives).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Company-wide</td>
<td>Commitment to eliminate deforestation; Commitment to protect rights and livelihoods of local communities; Commitments beyond regulatory compliance; Description of forest risk commodities, parts of the business, and stages of value-chain covered by the policy; List of timebound commitments and targets; Description of forests-related performance standards for direct operations; Description of forests-related standards for procurement; Other, please specify (Reference to international standards and widely-recognized forests-related initiatives).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you have a commodity specific sustainability policy?</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Content</td>
<td>Please explain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**F4.6**

(F4.6) Has your organization made a public commitment to reduce or remove deforestation and/or forest degradation from its direct operations and/or supply chain?

Yes

**F4.6a**

(F4.6a) Has your organization endorsed any of the following initiatives as part of its public commitment to reduce or remove deforestation and/or forest degradation?

- New York Declaration on Forests
- Other, please specify (Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil)

**F4.6b**

(F4.6b) Provide details on your public commitment(s), including the description of specific criteria, coverage, and actions.

**Forest risk commodity**

**Timber products**

**Criteria**

- Zero gross deforestation/ no deforestation
- No new development on peat regardless of depth
- No conversion of High Conservation Value areas
- Secure Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) of indigenous people and local communities
- No sourcing of illegally produced and/or traded forest risk commodities
- No sourcing of forest risk commodities from unknown/controversial sources
- Restricting the sourcing and/or trade of forest risk commodities to credible certified sources

**Operational coverage**

Supply chain

% of total production/ consumption covered by commitment

100%

**Cutoff date**

No cutoff date

**Commitment target date**

2021-25

**Please explain**

Our commodity-specific policies address several deforestation and forest degradation criteria. Our sustainable fiber for paper-based packaging policy addresses HCV, legality, certification, and human rights. In 2014 we established our sustainable paper-based packaging goal to purchase 100% of paper-based packaging with fiber from responsibly managed forests and recycled sources by the end of 2020. As of 2020, we transitioned 81% of our fiber-based packaging supply from sustainable and recycled sources and have therefore extended our goal to purchase 100% of paper-based packaging with fiber from responsibly managed forests and recycled sources by the end of 2022. These commitments are rolling in nature and therefore do not have a cutoff date.

**Forest risk commodity**

**Timber products**

**Criteria**

- Zero gross deforestation/ no deforestation
- Restoration and compensation to address past deforestation and conversion
- Avoidance of negative impacts on threatened and protected species and habitats
- No conversion of High Conservation Value areas
- No conversion of High Carbon Stock forests
- No sourcing of illegally produced and/or traded forest risk commodities
- No sourcing of forest risk commodities from unknown/controversial sources
- Restricting the sourcing and/or trade of forest risk commodities to credible certified sources

**Operational coverage**

Supply chain

% of total production/ consumption covered by commitment
In addition to our commodity-specific policies, in 2019 we endorsed the New York Declaration of Forests and are working to at least halve the rate of loss of natural forests globally and strive to end natural forests loss by 2030. We support the private-sector goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agricultural commodities such as palm oil by no later than 2020.

**Forest risk commodity**

Palm oil

**Criteria**

- Zero gross deforestation/ no deforestation
- Restoration and compensation to address past deforestation and conversion
- Avoidance of negative impacts on threatened and protected species and habitats
- No conversion of High Conservation Value areas
- No conversion of High Carbon Stock forests
- No sourcing of illegally produced and/or traded forest risk commodities
- No sourcing of forest risk commodities from unknown/controversial sources
- Restricting the sourcing and/or trade of forest risk commodities to credible certified sources

**Operational coverage**

Supply chain

**% of total production/ consumption covered by commitment**

100%

**Cutoff date**

No cutoff date

**Commitment target date**

2020

**Please explain**

Our commodity-specific policies address several deforestation and forest degradation criteria. Our palm oil policy addresses HCV, HCS, peatland, FPIC legality, certification, and human rights. For example, as a member of RSPO and a buyer of RSPO-certified sustainable palm oil, we abide by the RSPO Principles and Criteria, including the FPIC guiding principles for companies to promote the protection of local community rights. We are committed to continuing to source 100% of the palm oil used for cooking from responsible and sustainable sources. These commitments are rolling in nature and therefore do not have a cutoff date. As such, we have reported the commitment target date as the given reporting year (2020).

In addition to our commodity-specific policies, in 2019 we endorsed the New York Declaration of Forests and are working to at least halve the rate of loss of natural forests globally and strive to end natural forests loss by 2030. We support the private-sector goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agricultural commodities such as palm oil by no later than 2020.

**Forest risk commodity**

Cattle products

**Criteria**

- Zero gross deforestation/ no deforestation
- Restoration and compensation to address past deforestation and conversion
- Avoidance of negative impacts on threatened and protected species and habitats
- No conversion of High Conservation Value areas
- No conversion of High Carbon Stock forests
- No sourcing of illegally produced and/or traded forest risk commodities
- No sourcing of forest risk commodities from unknown/controversial sources

**Please explain**

In addition to our commodity-specific policies, in 2019 we endorsed the New York Declaration of Forests and are working to at least halve the rate of loss of natural forests globally and strive to end natural forests loss by 2030. We support the private-sector goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agricultural commodities such as palm oil by no later than 2020.
Restrict the sourcing and/or trade of forest risk commodities to credible certified sources

**Operational coverage**
Supply chain

**% of total production/ consumption covered by commitment**
100%

**Cutoff date**
No cutoff date

**Commitment target date**
2026-2030

**Please explain**
In addition to our commodity-specific policies, in 2019 we endorsed the New York Declaration of Forests and are working to at least halve the rate of loss of natural forests globally and strive to end natural forest loss by 2030. We support the private-sector goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agricultural commodities such as palm oil by no later than 2020.

---

**F5. Business strategy**

**F5.1**

(F5.1) Are forests-related issues integrated into any aspects of your long-term strategic business plan, and if so how?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are forests-related issues integrated?</th>
<th>Long-term time horizon (years)</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, forests-related issues are integrated</td>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>Our materiality assessment confirmed that sustainable sourcing is an important material issue. This materiality assessment was used in the renewal of our global citizenship and sustainability strategy that reflects our corporate mission to build the world’s most loved, trusted &amp; fasted growing restaurant brands. Our sustainability strategy, called the Recipe for Good, is one of the two parts of our corporate vision. Together, with the Recipe for Growth it guides our company. Both are critical for the long-term success of our long-term business objectives. Our Recipe for Good unites our employees, franchisies and suppliers on the priorities that matter and will keep us focused on socially responsible growth, managing risks and serving more goodness to our customers, shareholders, communities and the planet. This is reflected in our fundamental long-term sustainability objectives. First, it is to design, build and operate restaurants to be measurably more sustainable using green building standards to drive reductions in energy, GHG emissions, waste and water use and to report progress annually through CDP-disclosures. Second is to work to elevate the supply chain to reduce deforestation through objectives including sourcing 100% of palm oil used for cooking and paper-based packaging from responsible and sustainable sources. Our specific, global palm oil and fiber-based packaging policies apply to all brands, regions, countries and suppliers within our operational control. In addition, compliance with these policies is required through our Global Supplier Code of Conduct. Progress is tracked and reported on an annual basis through our global surveys. One example of the incorporation of these long-term business objectives is our endorsement of the New York Declaration on Forests (NYDF). We believe that endorsing the NYDF is an important step forward and we look forward to helping to meet the global private sector goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agriculture commodities such as palm oil, soy, paper and beef products no later than 2020, in addition to at least halving the rate of loss of natural forest globally by 2020 and striving to end natural forest loss by 2030. Yum! Brands currently defines our long-term time horizon as any time period greater than five years. We have selected the threshold that best fits our definition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Yes, forests-related issues are integrated | 21-30 | Our materiality assessment confirmed that sustainable sourcing is an important material issue. This materiality assessment was used in the renewal of our global citizenship and sustainability strategy that reflects our corporate mission to build the world’s most loved, trusted & fasted growing restaurant brands. Our sustainability strategy, called the Recipe for Good, is one of the two parts of our corporate vision. Together, with the Recipe for Growth it guides our company. Both are critical for the long-term success of our long-term business objectives. Our Recipe for Good unites our employees, franchisies and suppliers on the priorities that matter and will keep us focused on socially responsible growth, managing risks and serving more goodness to our customers, shareholders, communities and the planet. This is reflected in our fundamental long-term sustainability objectives. First, it is to design, build and operate restaurants to be measurably more sustainable using green building standards to drive reductions in energy, GHG emissions, waste and water use and to report progress annually through CDP-disclosures. Second is to work to elevate the supply chain to reduce deforestation through objectives including sourcing 100% of palm oil used for cooking and paper-based packaging from responsible and sustainable sources. Our specific, global palm oil and fiber-based packaging policies apply to all brands, regions, countries and suppliers within our operational control. In addition, compliance with these policies is required through our Global Supplier Code of Conduct. Progress is tracked and reported on an annual basis through our global surveys. One example of the incorporation of these long-term business objectives is our endorsement of the New York Declaration on Forests (NYDF). We believe that endorsing the NYDF is an important step forward and we look forward to helping to meet the global private sector goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agriculture commodities such as palm oil, soy, paper and beef products no later than 2020, in addition to at least halving the rate of loss of natural forest globally by 2020 and striving to end natural forest loss by 2030. Yum! Brands currently defines our long-term time horizon as any time period greater than five years. We have selected the threshold that best fits our definition. |

| Yes, forests-related issues are integrated | 21-30 | Our materiality assessment confirmed that sustainable sourcing is an important material issue. This materiality assessment was used in the renewal of our global citizenship and sustainability strategy that reflects our corporate mission to build the world’s most loved, trusted & fasted growing restaurant brands. Our sustainability strategy, called the Recipe for Good, is one of the two parts of our corporate vision. Together, with the Recipe for Growth it guides our company. Both are critical for the long-term success of our long-term business objectives. Our Recipe for Good unites our employees, franchisies and suppliers on the priorities that matter and will keep us focused on socially responsible growth, managing risks and serving more goodness to our customers, shareholders, communities and the planet. This is reflected in our fundamental long-term sustainability objectives. First, it is to design, build and operate restaurants to be measurably more sustainable using green building standards to drive reductions in energy, GHG emissions, waste and water use and to report progress annually through CDP-disclosures. Second is to work to elevate the supply chain to reduce deforestation through objectives including sourcing 100% of palm oil used for cooking and paper-based packaging from responsible and sustainable sources. Our specific, global palm oil and fiber-based packaging policies apply to all brands, regions, countries and suppliers within our operational control. In addition, compliance with these policies is required through our Global Supplier Code of Conduct. Progress is tracked and reported on an annual basis through our global surveys. One example of the incorporation of these long-term business objectives is our endorsement of the New York Declaration on Forests (NYDF). We believe that endorsing the NYDF is an important step forward and we look forward to helping to meet the global private sector goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agriculture commodities such as palm oil, soy, paper and beef products no later than 2020, in addition to at least halving the rate of loss of natural forest globally by 2020 and striving to end natural forest loss by 2030. Yum! Brands currently defines our long-term time horizon as any time period greater than five years. We have selected the threshold that best fits our definition. |

---

**F6. Implementation**

**F6.1**
(F6.1) Did you have any timebound and quantifiable targets for increasing sustainable production and/or consumption of your disclosed commodity(ies) that were active during the reporting year?

Yes

F6.1a

(F6.1a) Provide details of your timebound and quantifiable target(s) for increasing sustainable production and/or consumption of the disclosed commodity(ies), and progress made.

Target reference number
Target 1

Forest risk commodity
Timber products

Type of target
Third-party certification

Description of target
Purchase 100% of our paper-based packaging with fiber from responsibly managed forest and recycled sources by the end of 2022 as demonstrated by third-party certification in accordance with our policy.

Linked commitment
Zero net/gross deforestation

Traceability point
<Not Applicable>

Third-party certification scheme
FSC (any type)

PEFC (any type)

SFI Chain of Custody

SFI Fiber Sourcing certification

Start year
2014

Target year
2022

Quantitative metric
<Not Applicable>

Target (number)
<Not Applicable>

Target (%)
100

% of target achieved
81

Please explain
Our public goal is to purchase 100% of paper-based packaging with fiber from responsibly managed forests and recycled sources by the end of 2022. This goal was chosen because Yum! sources a large volume of paper-based packaging and we are committed to using environmentally preferable packaging that reduces our impact on the environment and communities where we operate. Our public policy gives explicit preference to suppliers who provide paper packaging certified by a third-party who meets the most rigorous forest management standards, which is FSC. We also accept PEFC and SFI certifications. Yum! sustainable sourcing policies and principles are intended to mitigate our impact on climate change by reducing deforestation relating to the timber that we source. To track our progress, we survey business units worldwide regarding their accomplishments against our sourcing goals. We have worked with key franchisees to achieve results and have also worked to educate suppliers and when necessary remove them from our supply chain.

Target reference number
Target 2

Forest risk commodity
Palm oil

Type of target
Third-party certification

Description of target
Source 100% of palm oil used for cooking from responsible and sustainable sources as demonstrated by third-party certification in accordance with our policy.

Linked commitment
Zero net/gross deforestation

Traceability point
<Not Applicable>

Third-party certification scheme
RSPO (any type)

Start year
2015

Target year
2020

Quantitative metric
<Not Applicable>

Target (number)
<Not Applicable>

Target (%)
100

% of target achieved
100

Please explain
Our public commitment is to continue our goal to source 100% of the product used for cooking from responsible and sustainable sources. This goal was chosen because Yum! sources a large volume of palm oil used for cooking and we are committed to using environmentally preferable palm oil that reduces our impact on the environment and communities where we operate. Our public policy gives explicit preference to suppliers certified by RSPO. Yum! sustainable sourcing policies and principles are intended to mitigate our impact on climate change by reducing deforestation relating to the palm oil that we source. To track our progress, we survey business units worldwide regarding their accomplishments against our sourcing goals. We have worked with key franchisees to achieve results and have also worked to educate suppliers and when necessary remove them from our supply chain. Please note that this target is rolling in nature (an ongoing goal to source 100% sustainable palm oil each year). As such, we have reported the target year as the given reporting year (2020).

Target reference number
Target 3

Forest risk commodity
Cattle products

Type of target
Engagement with direct suppliers

Description of target
We endorsed the New York Declaration on Forests (NYDF) are working toward the private sector goal of elimination deforestation from the production of agriculture commodities by 2020. Our initial goal is working with suppliers to improve visibility into layers of the supply chain. We are planning to conduct climate change education with all U.S. and Canadian supplier of beef and dairy, as well as poultry in 2021 through with a third-party partner to drive awareness and encourage the setting of targets.

Linked commitment
Zero net/gross deforestation

Traceability point
<Not Applicable>

Third-party certification scheme
<Not Applicable>

Start year
2019

Target year
2020

Quantitative metric
<Not Applicable>

Target (number)
<Not Applicable>

Target (%)
100

% of target achieved
93

Please explain
In 2020, approximately 91% of the beef used by Yum! brands was by our Taco Bell brand and this is the focus of the target. Though the development and issuing of our sustainability survey we are working to deepen the understanding of the origins of our beef supply. This includes but is not limited to traceability and certification. In 2020, approximately 93% of our beef came from origins of lower deforestation risk. Although the remaining 7% of beef volume comes from countries of higher deforestation risk, it may or may not actually contribute to deforestation. We're continuing to work with WWF to research and understand the specific origins of our supply chain in this area. This work is foundational and is a first step in our commitment to the New York Declaration on Forests. Please note that at the time of this disclosure, the NYDF goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agriculture commodities by 2020 is in the process of being addressed by the organization and therefore, target year may be subject to change.

F6.2
(F6.2) Do you have traceability system(s) in place to track and monitor the origin of your disclosed commodity(ies)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of traceability system</th>
<th>Exclusions</th>
<th>Description of exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products: Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Our results for the percent of total consumption that is traceable reflects the various certification schemes reported by our markets and the associated points to which they are traceable. The reported results reflect data gaps that are due to factors including geographic area and supplier limitations. We look forward to improving our ability to gather and report on this information in the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil: Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Our results for the percent of total consumption that is traceable reflects the various certification schemes reported by our markets and the associated points to which they are traceable. We have published a partial mill list. It can be viewed using this link: <a href="https://rspo.org/members/7011/YUM-Brands-Inc.The">https://rspo.org/members/7011/YUM-Brands-Inc.The</a> reported results reflect data gaps resulting from supplier limitations. We look forward to improving our ability to gather and report on this information in the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products: Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>The reported results reflect data gaps that are due to factors including geographic area and supplier limitations. We’re continuing to work with WWF to research and understand the specific origins of our supply chain in this area. Please note that this is our second year reporting this commodity and as such, we look forward to improving our ability to gather and report on this information in the future.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Soy**: <Not Applicable> <Not Applicable> <Not Applicable>

**Other Rubber**: <Not Applicable> <Not Applicable> <Not Applicable>

**Other Cocoa**: <Not Applicable> <Not Applicable> <Not Applicable>

**Other Coffee**: <Not Applicable> <Not Applicable> <Not Applicable>

---

(F6.2a) Provide details on the level of traceability your organization has for its disclosed commodity(ies).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Point to which commodity is traceable</th>
<th>% of total production/consumption volume traceable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Tree plantation</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Forest management unit</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Fattening farm</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(F6.3) Have you adopted any third-party certification scheme(s) for your disclosed commodity(ies)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Third-party certification scheme adopted?</th>
<th>% of total production and/or consumption volume certified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CDP
### F6.3a

(F6.3a) Provide a detailed breakdown of the volume and percentage of your production and/or consumption by certification scheme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Third-party certification scheme</td>
<td>FSC Chain of Custody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chain-of-custody model used</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume certified</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of commodity</td>
<td>Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of production/consumption certified</td>
<td>54096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric for volume</td>
<td>Metric tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this certified by more than one scheme?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Please explain</strong></td>
<td>Our public policy states that we will give preference to suppliers who provide paper-packaging certified by a third-party, which meets the most rigorous forest management standards, which is currently FSC. However, to account for market differences, we also recognize other national certifications endorsed by the PEFC and SFI, provided the fiber avoids the unwanted sources listed in our Sustainable Sourcing Principles. We issue a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all suppliers report on fiber used in our paper-based packaging on an annual basis. An estimated 47% of our paper-based packaging volume supply came from certified sources of virgin fiber based on reported data. An additional estimated 34% of our reported paper-based packaging came from recycled sources, of which 39% met the FSC Recycled certification. Non-recycled, non-certified paper-based packaging is currently estimated to be 19% of our supply based on reported data. Our public goal is to reach 100% of fiber used in paper packaging from responsible and recycled sources by the end of 2022.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Third-party certification scheme</td>
<td>FSC Controlled Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chain-of-custody model used</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume certified</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of commodity</td>
<td>Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of production/consumption certified</td>
<td>2057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric for volume</td>
<td>Metric tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this certified by more than one scheme?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Please explain</strong></td>
<td>Our public policy states that we will give preference to suppliers who provide paper-packaging certified by a third-party, which meets the most rigorous forest management standards, which is currently FSC. However, to account for market differences, we also recognize other national certifications endorsed by the PEFC and SFI, provided the fiber avoids the unwanted sources listed in our Sustainable Sourcing Principles. We issue a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all suppliers report on fiber used in our paper-based packaging on an annual basis. An estimated 47% of our paper-based packaging volume supply came from certified sources of virgin fiber based on reported data. An additional estimated 34% of our reported paper-based packaging came from recycled sources, of which 39% met the FSC Recycled certification. Non-recycled, non-certified paper-based packaging is currently estimated to be 19% of our supply based on reported data. Our public goal is to reach 100% of fiber used in paper packaging from responsible and recycled sources by the end of 2022.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Third-party certification scheme</td>
<td>FSC Forest Management certification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chain-of-custody model used</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total production/consumption volume certified</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form of commodity</td>
<td>Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of production/consumption certified</td>
<td>7310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric for volume</td>
<td>Metric tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Is this certified by more than one scheme?**  
No

**Please explain**  
Our public policy states that we will give preference to suppliers who provide paper-packaging certified by a third-party, which meets the most rigorous forest management standards, which is currently FSC. However, to account for market differences, we also recognize other national certifications endorsed by the PEFC and SFI, provided the fiber avoids the unwanted sources listed in our Sustainable Sourcing Principles. We issue a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all suppliers report on fiber used in our paper-based packaging on an annual basis. An estimated 47% of our paper-based packaging volume supply came from certified sources of virgin fiber based on reported data. An additional estimated 34% of our reported paper-based packaging came from recycled sources, of which 39% met the FSC Recycled certification. Non-recycled, non-certified paper-based packaging is currently estimated to be 19% of our supply based on reported data. Our public goal is to reach 100% of fiber used in paper packaging from responsible and recycled sources by the end of 2022.

**Forest risk commodity**  
Timber products

**Third-party certification scheme**  
FSC Recycled

**Chain-of-custody model used**  
<Not Applicable>

**% of total production/consumption volume certified**  
13.1

**Form of commodity**  
Paper

**Volume of production/consumption certified**  
57191

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric for volume</th>
<th>Metric tons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Is this certified by more than one scheme?**  
No

**Please explain**  
Our public policy states that we will give preference to suppliers who provide paper-packaging certified by a third-party, which meets the most rigorous forest management standards, which is currently FSC. However, to account for market differences, we also recognize other national certifications endorsed by the PEFC and SFI, provided the fiber avoids the unwanted sources listed in our Sustainable Sourcing Principles. We issue a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all suppliers report on fiber used in our paper-based packaging on an annual basis. An estimated 47% of our paper-based packaging volume supply came from certified sources of virgin fiber based on reported data. An additional estimated 34% of our reported paper-based packaging came from recycled sources, of which 39% met the FSC Recycled certification. Non-recycled, non-certified paper-based packaging is currently estimated to be 19% of our supply based on reported data. Our public goal is to reach 100% of fiber used in paper packaging from responsible and recycled sources by the end of 2022.

**Forest risk commodity**  
Timber products

**Third-party certification scheme**  
PEFC Chain of Custody

**Chain-of-custody model used**  
<Not Applicable>

**% of total production/consumption volume certified**  
3.8

**Form of commodity**  
Paper

**Volume of production/consumption certified**  
16474

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric for volume</th>
<th>Metric tons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Is this certified by more than one scheme?**  
No

**Please explain**  
Our public policy states that we will give preference to suppliers who provide paper-packaging certified by a third-party, which meets the most rigorous forest management standards, which is currently FSC. However, to account for market differences, we also recognize other national certifications endorsed by the PEFC and SFI, provided the fiber avoids the unwanted sources listed in our Sustainable Sourcing Principles. We issue a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all suppliers report on fiber used in our paper-based packaging on an annual basis. An estimated 47% of our paper-based packaging volume supply came from certified sources of virgin fiber based on reported data. An additional estimated 34% of our reported paper-based packaging came from recycled sources, of which 39% met the FSC Recycled certification. Non-recycled, non-certified paper-based packaging is currently estimated to be 19% of our supply based on reported data. Our public goal is to reach 100% of fiber used in paper packaging from responsible and recycled sources by the end of 2022.

**Forest risk commodity**  
Timber products

**Third-party certification scheme**  
PEFC Sustainable Forest Management certification
Chain-of-custody model used
Not Applicable

% of total production/consumption volume certified
1.6

Form of commodity
Paper

Volume of production/consumption certified
6860

Metric for volume
Metric tons

Is this certified by more than one scheme?
No

Please explain
Our public policy states that we will give preference to suppliers who provide paper-packaging certified by a third-party, which meets the most rigorous forest management standards, which is currently FSC. However, to account for market differences, we also recognize other national certifications endorsed by the PEFC and SFI, provided the fiber avoids the unwanted sources listed in our Sustainable Sourcing Principles. We issue a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all suppliers report on fiber used in our paper-based packaging on an annual basis. An estimated 47% of our paper-based packaging volume supply came from certified sources of virgin fiber based on reported data. An additional estimated 34% of our reported paper-based packaging came from recycled sources, of which 39% met the FSC Recycled certification. Non-recycled, non-certified paper-based packaging is currently estimated to be 19% of our supply based on reported data. Our public goal is to reach 100% of fiber used in paper packaging from responsible and recycled sources by the end of 2022.

Forest risk commodity
Timber products

Third-party certification scheme
SFI Chain of Custody

Chain-of-custody model used
Not Applicable

% of total production/consumption volume certified
4.8

Form of commodity
Paper

Volume of production/consumption certified
20812

Metric for volume
Metric tons

Is this certified by more than one scheme?
No

Please explain
Our public policy states that we will give preference to suppliers who provide paper-packaging certified by a third-party, which meets the most rigorous forest management standards, which is currently FSC. However, to account for market differences, we also recognize other national certifications endorsed by the PEFC and SFI, provided the fiber avoids the unwanted sources listed in our Sustainable Sourcing Principles. We issue a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all suppliers report on fiber used in our paper-based packaging on an annual basis. An estimated 47% of our paper-based packaging volume supply came from certified sources of virgin fiber based on reported data. An additional estimated 34% of our reported paper-based packaging came from recycled sources, of which 39% met the FSC Recycled certification. Non-recycled, non-certified paper-based packaging is currently estimated to be 19% of our supply based on reported data. Our public goal is to reach 100% of fiber used in paper packaging from responsible and recycled sources by the end of 2022.

Forest risk commodity
Timber products

Third-party certification scheme
SFI Fiber Sourcing certification

Chain-of-custody model used
Not Applicable

% of total production/consumption volume certified
14.9

Form of commodity
Paper

Volume of production/consumption certified
64751

Metric for volume
Metric tons

Is this certified by more than one scheme?
No

Please explain
Our public policy states that we will give preference to suppliers who provide paper-packaging certified by a third-party, which meets the most rigorous forest management standards, which is currently FSC. However, to account for market differences, we also recognize other national certifications endorsed by the PEFC and SFI, provided the fiber avoids the unwanted sources listed in our Sustainable Sourcing Principles. We issue a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all suppliers report on fiber used in our paper-based packaging on an annual basis. An estimated 47% of our paper-based packaging volume supply came from certified sources of virgin fiber based on reported data. An additional estimated 34% of our reported paper-based packaging came from recycled sources, of which 39% met the FSC Recycled certification. Non-recycled, non-certified paper-based packaging is currently estimated to be 19% of our supply based on reported data. Our public goal is to reach 100% of fiber used in paper packaging from responsible and recycled sources by the end of 2022.
suppliers report on fiber used in our paper-based packaging on an annual basis. An estimated 47% of our paper-based packaging volume supply came from certified sources of virgin fiber based on reported data. An additional estimated 34% of our reported paper-based packaging came from recycled sources, of which 39% met the FSC Recycled certification. Non-recycled, non-certified paper-based packaging is currently estimated to be 19% of our supply based on reported data. Our public goal is to reach 100% of fiber used in paper packaging from responsible and recycled sources by the end of 2022.

### Forest risk commodity

Palm oil

**Third-party certification scheme**

RSPO Credits/Book & Claim

**Chain-of-custody model used**

<Not Applicable>

**% of total production/consumption volume certified**

58.86

**Form of commodity**

Refined palm oil

**Volume of production/consumption certified**

107,628 metric tons

**Is this certified by more than one scheme?**

No

**Please explain**

Our public policy states that we will give preference to palm oil suppliers who are certified by RSPO, as well only source palm oil from suppliers who meet our six principles of our Palm Oil Policy. We issue a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all suppliers report on palm oil used on an annual basis. The responses we received represent an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. Non-represented restaurants were estimated and included. Based on reported data and our purchasing of RSPO certificates, an estimated 100% of the procured palm oil volume came from RSPO-certified sources. An estimated 58.86% of that volume is from RSPO Book & Claim / credit purchasing.
Metric for volume
Metric tons

Is this certified by more than one scheme?
No

Please explain
Our public policy states that we will give preference to palm oil suppliers who are certified by RSPO, as well only source palm oil from suppliers who meet our six principles of our Palm Oil Policy. We issue a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all suppliers report on palm oil used on an annual basis. The responses we received represent an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. Non-represented restaurants were estimated and included. Based on reported data and our purchasing of RSPO certificates, an estimated 100% of the procured palm oil volume came from RSPO-certified sources. An estimated 41.11% of that volume is certified by RSPO Mass Balance.

Forest risk commodity
Palm oil

Third-party certification scheme
RSPO Segregated

Chain-of-custody model used
<Not Applicable>

% of total production/consumption volume certified
0.02

Form of commodity
Refined palm oil

Volume of production/consumption certified
44

Metric for volume
Metric tons

Is this certified by more than one scheme?
No

Please explain
Our public policy states that we will give preference to palm oil suppliers who are certified by RSPO, as well only source palm oil from suppliers who meet our six principles of our Palm Oil Policy. We issue a global survey for our entire business, through our brands, requesting that all suppliers report on palm oil used on an annual basis. The responses we received represent an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. Non-represented restaurants were estimated and included. Based on reported data and our purchasing of RSPO certificates, an estimated 100% of the procured palm oil volume came from RSPO-certified sources. An estimated 0.02% of that volume is certified by RSPO Segregated.

Forest risk commodity
Cattle products

Third-party certification scheme
Other, please specify (Bord Bia, Red Tractor)

Chain-of-custody model used
Not applicable

% of total production/consumption volume certified
1

Form of commodity
Beef

Volume of production/consumption certified
1824

Metric for volume
Metric tons

Is this certified by more than one scheme?
No

Please explain
Currently we do not have a global requirement for beef provided to the brands to be third-party certified with the listed sustainability standards. These standards for beef need to be better developed and more widely adopted to enable this evolution. However, we do ask suppliers to provide information on all certifications that they use within our annual survey, thereby encouraging them to continue to adopt standards. We received responses representing an estimated 64% of our Pizza Hut, Taco Bell and Habit Burger restaurants (KFC does not use a material amount of beef). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Based on the results of our 2020 analysis, an estimated 3.15% of total beef volume was certified. We are continuing to work with suppliers to refine data gathering.

Forest risk commodity
Cattle products

Third-party certification scheme
Other, please specify (Australia Meat Animal Welfare Certification Program (AAWCS))

Chain-of-custody model used
Not applicable

% of total production/consumption volume certified
0.01
### Forest risk commodity

**Cattle products**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Third-party certification scheme</th>
<th>Other, please specify (USDA-MSA (Meat Standards Australia))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chain-of-custody model used</strong></td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of total production/consumption volume certified 2.04

**Form of commodity**

Beef

**Volume of production/consumption certified**

3706 Metric tons

Is this certified by more than one scheme? No

Please explain

Currently we do not have a global requirement for beef provided to the brands to be third-party certified with the listed sustainability standards. These standards for beef need to be better developed and more widely adopted to enable this evolution. However, we do ask suppliers to provide information on all certifications that they use within our annual survey, thereby encouraging them to continue to adopt standards. We received responses representing an estimated 64% of our Pizza Hut, Taco Bell and Habit Burger restaurants (KFC does not use a material amount of beef). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Based on the results of our 2020 analysis, an estimated 3.15% of total beef volume was certified. We are continuing to work with suppliers to refine data gathering.

### Forest risk commodity

**Cattle products**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Third-party certification scheme</th>
<th>Other, please specify (Unknown)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chain-of-custody model used</strong></td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of total production/consumption volume certified 0.1

**Form of commodity**

Beef

**Volume of production/consumption certified**

188 Metric tons

Is this certified by more than one scheme? No

Please explain

Currently we do not have a global requirement for beef provided to the brands to be third-party certified with the listed sustainability standards. These standards for beef need to be better developed and more widely adopted to enable this evolution. However, we do ask suppliers to provide information on all certifications that they use within our annual survey, thereby encouraging them to continue to adopt standards. We received responses representing an estimated 64% of our Pizza Hut, Taco Bell and Habit Burger restaurants (KFC does not use a material amount of beef). For restaurants that were not represented by the survey results, we added an estimate of consumption based on a per restaurant average from reporting restaurants. Based on the results of our 2020 analysis, an estimated 3.15% of total beef volume was certified. Please note that the total volume certified by USDA-MSA exceeds the actual volume sourced from Australia because suppliers within the United States also specified this certification scheme. We are continuing to work with suppliers to refine data gathering.
(F6.4) For your disclosed commodity(ies), do you have a system to control, monitor, or verify compliance with no conversion and/or no deforestation commitments?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>System to control, monitor or verify compliance</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes, we have a system in place for our no conversion and/or deforestation commitments</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes, we have a system in place for our no conversion and/or deforestation commitments</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Yes, we have a system in place for our no conversion and/or deforestation commitments</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F6.4a) Provide details on the system, the approaches used to monitor compliance, the quantitative progress, and the non-compliance protocols, to implement your no conversion and/or deforestation commitment(s).

**Forest risk commodity**
- **Timber products**

**Operational coverage**
- Direct operations
- Supply chain

**Description of control systems**
Suppliers are required to adhere to all environmental policies established by Yum! as stated in the Yum! Global Supplier Code of Conduct. Our policy for fiber-based packaging includes using third-party certification systems to demonstrate compliance. This is done on an annual basis. Yum! recognizes systems including FSC, PEFC and SFI and requests that suppliers provide the volume of material and specific certification system used. This data is compiled and reviewed to ascertain compliance with the policy, progress on goals and whether corrective measures (up to and including removal from the Yum! supply chain) are warranted. Our goal is for suppliers to use 100% certified or recycled materials by the end of 2022. In addition to adhering to this certification, we reserve the right to address suppliers not conforming to our policy with evidence of bad behavior.

**Monitoring and verification approach**
Third-party verification

- % of total volume in compliance: 81-90%
- % of total suppliers in compliance: 81-90%

**Response to supplier non-compliance**
- Retain & engage
- Suspend & engage
- Exclude
- No response

**Procedures to address and resolve non-compliance with suppliers**
- Developing time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance
- Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance
- Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics
- Re-integrating suppliers back into supply chain based on the successful and verifiable completion of activities

**Please explain**
Suppliers are required to adhere to all environmental policies established by Yum! as stated in the Yum! Global Supplier Code of Conduct. Our policy for fiber-based packaging includes using third-party certification systems to demonstrate compliance. This is done on an annual basis. Yum! recognizes systems including FSC, PEFC and SFI and requests that suppliers provide the volume of material and specific certification system used. This data is compiled and reviewed to ascertain compliance with the policy, progress on goals and if corrective measure, up to and including removal from the Yum! supply chain, are warranted. Our goal is for suppliers to use 100% certified or recycled materials by the end of 2022. As such we have worked to continually improve the volume of certified and recycled materials within our system since starting on this journey. As of 2020, an estimated 81% of total fiber volume was from recycled for certified sources. In addition to adhering to this certification, we reserve the right to address suppliers not conforming to our policy with evidence of bad behavior. We have taken action in the past as APP, APRIL and its subsidiaries have been removed from the Yum! system as approved suppliers. Yum! supply chain leaders are responsible for evaluating the severity of non-compliance, developing corrective action plans and if necessary, removal of suppliers from the system in consultation with other functions and partners.

**Forest risk commodity**
- **Palm oil**

**Operational coverage**
- Direct operations
- Supply chain

**Description of control systems**
Suppliers are required to adhere to all environmental policies established by Yum! as stated in the Yum! Global Suppliers are required to adhere to all environmental policies established by Yum! as stated in the Yum! Global Supplier Code of Conduct. Our policy for palm oil used for cooking includes using third-party certification systems, primarily and preferable RSPO, to demonstrate compliance. This is done on an annual basis. Yum! requests that suppliers provide the volume of material and specific certification system used. This data is compiled and reviewed to ascertain compliance with the policy, progress on goals and if corrective measure, up to and including removal from the Yum! supply chain, are warranted. Our ongoing commitment is to use 100% certified palm oil. In addition to adhering to this certification, we reserve the right to address suppliers not conforming to our policy with evidence of bad behavior.
Monitoring and verification approach
Third-party verification

% of total volume in compliance
100%

% of total suppliers in compliance
71-80%

Response to supplier non-compliance
Retain & engage
Suspend & engage
Exclude
No response

Procedures to address and resolve non-compliance with suppliers
Developing time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance
Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance
Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics
Re-integrating suppliers back into supply chain based on the successful and verifiable completion of activities

Please explain
Suppliers are required to adhere to all environmental policies established by Yum! as stated in the Yum! Global Supplier Code of Conduct. Our policy for palm oil used for cooking includes using third-party certification systems, primarily and preferably RSPO, to demonstrate compliance. This is done on an annual basis. Yum! requests that suppliers provide the volume of material and specific certification system used. This data is compiled and reviewed to ascertain compliance with the policy, progress on goals and if corrective measure, up to and including removal from the Yum! supply chain, are warranted. The responses we received represent an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. Non-represented restaurants were estimated and included. Based on reported data and our purchase of RSPO Book and Claim certificates, an estimated 100% of the procured palm oil volume came from RSPO-certified sources. In addition to adhering to this certification, we reserve the right to address suppliers not conforming to our policy with evidence of bad behavior. We have taken action in the past as Indofood has been removed from the Yum! system as an approved supplier. Yum! supply chain leaders are responsible for evaluating the severity of non-compliance, developing corrective action plans and if necessary, removal of suppliers from the system in consultation with other functions and partners.

Forest risk commodity
Cattle Products

Operational coverage
Direct operations
Supply chain

Description of control systems
Suppliers are required to adhere to all environmental policies established by Yum! as stated in the Yum! Global Supplier Code of Conduct. Our policy for palm oil does not require a certification system to demonstrate compliance, however, we do recognize and encourage third-party certification systems. Voluntary reporting in beef certification schemes is done on an annual basis. Yum! requests that suppliers provide the volume of material and specific certification system used. This data is compiled and reviewed to ascertain compliance with the policy, progress on goals. Our commitment is to procure beef in accordance with our endorsement of the NYDF. As such we have begun working to better understand the sourcing of beef within our system. We reserve the right to address suppliers not conforming to our policy with evidence of bad behavior. The focus is on suppliers with exposure to areas of higher tropical deforestation risk.

Monitoring and verification approach
Other, please specify (Self-Reporting - Traceability)

% of total volume in compliance
91-99%

% of total suppliers in compliance
51-60%

Response to supplier non-compliance
Retain & engage
Suspend & engage
Exclude
No response

Procedures to address and resolve non-compliance with suppliers
Developing time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance
Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance
Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics
Re-integrating suppliers back into supply chain based on the successful and verifiable completion of activities

Please explain
Suppliers are required to adhere to all environmental policies established by Yum! as stated in the Yum! Global Supplier Code of Conduct. Our policy for palm oil used for cooking includes using third-party certification systems, primarily and preferably RSPO, to demonstrate compliance. This is done on an annual basis. Yum! requests that suppliers provide the volume of material and specific certification system used. This data is compiled and reviewed to ascertain compliance with the policy, progress on goals and if corrective measure, up to and including removal from the Yum! supply chain, are warranted. The responses we received represent an estimated 99% of our global store count of over 50,000 restaurants. Non-represented restaurants were estimated and included. Based on reported data and our purchase of RSPO Book and Claim certificates, an estimated 100% of the procured palm oil volume came from RSPO-certified sources. In addition to adhering to this certification, we reserve the right to address suppliers not conforming to our policy with evidence of bad behavior. We have taken action in the past as Indofood has been removed from the Yum! system as an approved supplier. Yum! supply chain leaders are responsible for evaluating the severity of non-compliance, developing corrective action plans and if necessary, removal of suppliers from the system in consultation with other functions and partners. In 2020, an estimated 93% of total beef volume was sourced from countries of low deforestation risk and 53% of our responding suppliers reported low-risk origins. Please note that this is our second year reporting this commodity and as such, we look forward to improving our ability to gather and report on this information in the future.

F6.6
(F6.6) For your disclosed commodity(ies), indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Assess legal compliance with forest regulations</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes, from suppliers</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes, from suppliers</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Yes, from suppliers</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F6.6a) For you disclosed commodity(ies), indicate how you ensure legal compliance with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards.

### Timber products

**Procedure to ensure legal compliance**

As part of our annual sustainability survey, we request all fiber-based product suppliers to state if they are in compliance with the Brazilian Forest Code and request details of their approaches or processes to comply with applicable forest regulations and mandatory standards. In 2020, approximately 84% of our Timber suppliers that sourced from Brazil reported that they monitor and are compliant with the Brazilian Forest Code. Compliance is tracked using Certifications from Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) Brazil and The Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC); Certificates of Origin; Chain of Custody Certification Reports; and audits of the certification companies. The number of suppliers represents a rounded estimate based on the number of Timber suppliers that responded to the Survey for 2020. Supplier responses to the survey are indications, but not assurance, of legal compliance.

**Country/Area of origin**
- Argentina
- Australia
- Brazil
- Colombia
- India
- Indonesia
- Malaysia
- Mexico
- Panama
- Philippines
- Thailand
- Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
- Viet Nam

**Law and/or mandatory standard(s)**
- Brazilian Forest Code

**Comment**

Suppliers are subject to the Yum! Supplier Code of Conduct. Suppliers are required to provide products/services that comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations in the state and/or country in which they operate as well as to adhere to all requirements set out in this Code. Applicable laws and regulations include local, federal and international codes, rules and regulations as well as applicable treaties and industry standards. Furthermore, the Code states that suppliers must comply with industry environmental, agricultural and animal welfare standards and practices, as applicable. Any reported breaches in the Code of Conduct are reviewed and acted upon in accordance with the policy and any legal requirements. Third party certifications are used as indications, but not assurance, of legal compliance.

### Palm oil

**Procedure to ensure legal compliance**

As part of our annual sustainability survey, we request all palm oil suppliers for cooking oil to state if they are in compliance with the Brazilian Forest Code and request details of their approaches or processes to comply with applicable forest regulations and mandatory standards. In 2020, no palm oil suppliers reported sourcing of palm oil for cooking from Brazil. Supplier responses to the survey are indications, but not assurance, of legal compliance.

**Country/Area of origin**
- Ecuador
- India
- Indonesia
- Malaysia
- Peru
- Thailand
- Viet Nam

**Law and/or mandatory standard(s)**
- Brazilian Forest Code

**Comment**

Suppliers are subject to the Yum! Supplier Code of Conduct. Suppliers are required to provide products/services that comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations in the state and/or country in which they operate as well as to adhere to all requirements set out in this Code. Applicable laws and regulations include local, federal and international codes, rules and regulations as well as applicable treaties and industry standards. Furthermore, the Code states that suppliers must comply with industry environmental, agricultural and animal welfare standards and practices, as applicable. Third party certifications are used as indications, but not assurance, of legal compliance.
**Cattle products**

**Procedure to ensure legal compliance**

As part of our annual sustainability survey, we request all beef suppliers to state if they are in compliance with the Brazilian Forest Code and request details of their approaches or processes to comply with applicable forest regulations and mandatory standards. In 2020, 100% of suppliers sourcing beef from Brazil reported that they monitor and comply with the Brazilian Forest Code. Compliance is tracked using certifications and external audits of supplying companies. Supplier responses to the survey are indications, but not assurance, of legal compliance.

**Country/Area of origin**

Australia  
Brazil  
Guatemala  
Mexico

**Law and/or mandatory standard(s)**

Brazilian Forest Code

**Comment**

Suppliers are subject to the Yum! Supplier Code of Conduct. Suppliers are required to provide products/services that comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations in the state and/or country in which they operate as well as to adhere to all requirements set out in this Code. Applicable laws and regulations include local, federal and international codes, rules and regulations as well as applicable treaties and industry standards. Furthermore, the Code states that suppliers must comply with industry environmental, agricultural and animal welfare standards and practices, as applicable. Third party certifications are used as indications, but not assurance, of legal compliance.

---

**F6.7**

**(F6.7) Are you working with smallholders to support good agricultural practices and reduce deforestation and/or conversion of natural ecosystems?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Are you working with smallholders?</th>
<th>Type of smallholder engagement approach</th>
<th>Smallholder engagement approach</th>
<th>Number of smallholders engaged</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>No, not working with smallholders</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>No, not working with smallholders</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>No, not working with smallholders</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**F6.8**
### (F6.8) Are you working with your direct suppliers to support and improve their capacity to comply with your forests-related policies, commitments, and other requirements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of product</th>
<th>Type of direct supplier engagement approach</th>
<th>% of suppliers engaged</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes, working with direct suppliers</td>
<td>Supplier questionnaires on environmental and social indicators; Developing or distributing supply chain mapping tool; Supplier audits</td>
<td>91-99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes, working with direct suppliers</td>
<td>Supplier questionnaires on environmental and social indicators; Developing or distributing supply chain mapping tool; Supplier audits</td>
<td>91-99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Yes, working with direct suppliers</td>
<td>Supplier questionnaires on environmental and social indicators; Developing or distributing supply chain mapping tool; Supplier audits</td>
<td>61-70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Soy, Other Rubber, Cocoa, and Coffee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of product</th>
<th>Type of direct supplier engagement approach</th>
<th>% of suppliers engaged</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F6.9
### (F6.9) Are you working beyond your first-tier supplier(s) to manage and mitigate deforestation risks?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are you working beyond first tier?</th>
<th>Type of engagement approach with indirect suppliers</th>
<th>Indirect supplier engagement approach</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Supply chain mapping</td>
<td>Supplier questionnaires on environmental and social indicators</td>
<td>Our sustainable fiber sourcing policy is global and applies to all paper-based food and beverage packaging, therefore, it applies to all suppliers providing these items whether they are first tier suppliers or further back into the supply chain. Some of our global markets have the ability to work beyond the first tier of the supply chain due to the volumes they procure and level of access to and influence with suppliers. Some of our suppliers are vertically integrated which is a helpful enabling factor to working beyond the first tier to manage and mitigate risk. In addition, we engage beyond the first tier through multi-stakeholder dialogues, industry conferences, trade associations, and supply chain collaborations. All suppliers are required to adhere to the Yum! Supplier Code of Conduct which includes compliance with Yum! sustainability policies, positions and goals outlined in our Global Citizenship and Sustainability Report. Their participation in reporting is a demonstration of environmental management and leadership to meet or exceed environmental standards with year-over-year progress towards reducing the relative environmental footprint of their operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil products</td>
<td>Supply chain mapping</td>
<td>Supplier questionnaires on environmental and social indicators</td>
<td>Our sustainable palm oil sourcing policy is global and applies to cooking oil, therefore, it applies primarily to our suppliers providing us with cooking oil versus suppliers who are manufacturing products for us containing palm oil and purchasing palm oil further back in the supply chain. Some of our markets have the ability to work beyond the first tier of the supply chain due to the volumes they procure and level of access to and influence with suppliers. Some of our suppliers are vertically integrated which is a helpful enabling factor to working beyond the first tier to manage and mitigate risk. In addition, we engage beyond the first tier through multi-stakeholder dialogues, industry conferences, trade associations, and supply chain collaborations. As a result of working beyond the first tier, some of our large regions are already sourcing sustainable palm oil in their secondary ingredients, above and beyond the minimum requirements of our policy (which is focused on our primary use, which is cooking oil). All suppliers are required to adhere to the Yum! Supplier Code of Conduct which includes compliance with Yum! sustainability policies, positions and goals outlined in our Global Citizenship and Sustainability Report. Their participation in reporting is a demonstration of environmental management and leadership to meet or exceed environmental standards with year-over-year progress towards reducing the relative environmental footprint of their operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Supply chain mapping</td>
<td>Supplier questionnaires on environmental and social indicators</td>
<td>Our forest stewardship policy is global and applies to suppliers providing beef products to the supply chain. Our annual sustainability survey that is provided to direct suppliers contains questions that require cascading to and interaction with suppliers beyond the first tier to complete. Some of our global markets have the ability to work beyond the first tier of the supply chain due to the volumes they procure and level of access to and influence with suppliers. Some of our suppliers are vertically integrated which is a helpful enabling factor to working beyond the first tier to manage and mitigate risk. In addition, we engage beyond the first tier through multi-stakeholder dialogues, industry conferences, trade associations, and supply chain collaborations. As a result of working beyond the first tier, some of our suppliers are vertically integrated which is a helpful enabling factor to working beyond the first tier to manage and mitigate risk. In addition, we engage beyond the first tier through multi-stakeholder dialogues, industry conferences, trade associations, and supply chain collaborations. All suppliers are required to adhere to the Yum! Supplier Code of Conduct which includes compliance with Yum! sustainability policies, positions and goals outlined in our Global Citizenship and Sustainability Report. Their participation in reporting is a demonstration of environmental management and leadership to meet or exceed environmental standards with year-over-year progress towards reducing the relative environmental footprint of their operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F6.10
(F6.10) Do you participate in external activities and/or initiatives to promote the implementation of your forests-related policies and commitments?

**Forest risk commodity**
Timber products

**Do you participate in activities/initiatives?**
Yes

**Activities**
Involved in multi-partnership or stakeholder initiatives

**Initiatives**
Other, please specify (New York Declaration on Forests)

**Jurisdictional approaches**
<Not Applicable>

**Please explain**
Our endorsement of the New York Declaration on Forests (NYDF) is a milestone. We believe that endorsing the NYDF is an important step forward and we look forward to supporting and helping to meet the global private sector goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agriculture commodities such as palm oil, soy, paper and beef products no later than 2020, in addition to at least halving the rate of loss of natural forest globally by 2020 and striving to end natural forest loss by 2030. Our Chief Sustainability Officer is currently a member of the NYDF Refresh Process looking to make updates to the declaration and framework. We worked with WWF between 2013 and 2014 to develop our initial public policy and goal, and continued to partner with them in 2017-2018 to complete a supply chain sustainability risk assessment of key commodities, including Timber. Our engagement with our partner WWF continued in 2019 with a focus on the Brazil supply chain. This includes a landscape analysis and strategic plan to better understand how to reduce deforestation risks. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability. This involves reviewing current and future plastics and packaging use, trade-off analysis for design decisions and infrastructure improvement opportunities.

**Forest risk commodity**
Palm oil

**Do you participate in activities/initiatives?**
Yes

**Activities**
Involved in multi-partnership or stakeholder initiatives

**Initiatives**
Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO)
Other, please specify (New York Declaration on Forests)

**Jurisdictional approaches**
<Not Applicable>

**Please explain**
Our endorsement of the New York Declaration on Forests (NYDF) is a milestone. We believe that endorsing the NYDF is an important step forward and we look forward to supporting and helping to meet the global private sector goal of eliminating deforestation from the production of agriculture commodities such as palm oil, soy, paper and beef products no later than 2020, in addition to at least halving the rate of loss of natural forest globally by 2020 and striving to end natural forest loss by 2030. Our Chief Sustainability Officer is currently a member of the NYDF Refresh Process looking to make updates to the declaration and framework. We worked with WWF between 2013 and 2014 to develop our initial public policy and goal, and continued to partner with them in 2017-2018 to complete a supply chain sustainability risk assessment of key commodities, including Timber. Our engagement with our partner WWF continued in 2019 with a focus on the Brazil supply chain. This includes a landscape analysis and strategic plan to better understand how to reduce deforestation risks. In 2021, we engaged longtime partner World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to consult on our implementation plan aligned with the Accountability Framework initiative. The plan focuses on achieving deforestation- and conversion-free supply for beef and soy from Brazil through a series of actions over the coming months and years. Additionally, we will develop a soy case study describing our work with WWF and overall supplier engagement to help others achieve higher levels of visibility and accountability. This involves reviewing current and future plastics and packaging use, trade-off analysis for design decisions and infrastructure improvement opportunities.

**Forest risk commodity**
Cattle products

**Do you participate in activities/initiatives?**
Yes

**Activities**
Engaging with non-governmental organizations

**Initiatives**
<Not Applicable>

**Jurisdictional approaches**
<Not Applicable>

**Please explain**
Taco Bell is a member of the U.S. Roundtable for Sustainable Beef (USRSB) and works with a network of experts comprised of representatives from supply chains, academia, environmental, animal welfare organizations and veterinarians to improve the sustainable of U.S. Grown Beef.

---

**F6.11**

(F6.11) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and protection?

Yes

---

**F6.11a**
Project reference
Project 1

Project type
Forest ecosystem restoration

Primary motivation
Voluntary

Description of project
In 2019, we endorsed the New York Declaration of Forests and are working to at least halve the rate of loss of natural forests globally and strive to end natural forests loss by 2030. Our support of this project includes Goal 5 of the New York Declaration of Forests, which is to restore 150 million hectares of degraded landscapes and forestlands by 2020 and significantly increase the rate of global restoration thereafter, which would restore at least an additional 200 million hectares by 2030. To date, the project has been applied in 22 countries with 45 Million hectares under restoration, including Brazil, El Salvador, Mexico, Rwanda, Sri Lanka, United States, Burundi, Cameroon, Colombia, Costa Rica, Democratic Republic of Congo, Ghana, Guatemala, India, Côte d'Ivoire, Kenya, Malawi, Mozambique, Uganda, Ethiopia, Madagascar, and Togo. For the purposes of this disclosure, we have selected the USA as the project country. Please note that reported details represent the progress of the entire project, which we support.

Start year
2019

Target year
2030

Project area to date (Hectares)
26747709

Project area in the target year (Hectares)
350000000

Country/Area
United States of America

Latitude
Longitude

Monitoring frequency
Annually

Measured outcomes to date
Biodiversity
Other, please specify (Hectares of Restored Forest)

Please explain
Our support of the New York Declaration of Forests includes Goal 5, which is to restore 150 million hectares of degraded landscapes and forestlands by 2020 and significantly increase the rate of global restoration thereafter, which would restore at least an additional 200 million hectares by 2030. To date, the project has been applied in 22 countries with 45 Million hectares under restoration, including Brazil, El Salvador, Mexico, Rwanda, Sri Lanka, United States, Burundi, Cameroon, Colombia, Costa Rica, Democratic Republic of Congo, Ghana, Guatemala, India, Côte d'Ivoire, Kenya, Malawi, Mozambique, Uganda, Ethiopia, Madagascar, and Togo. For the purposes of this disclosure, we have selected the USA as the project country. Please note that reported details represent the progress of the entire project, which we support.

F7. Verification

F7.1

(F7.1) Do you verify any forests information reported in your CDP disclosure?
No, we are waiting for more mature verification standards/processes

F8. Barriers and challenges

F8.1
(F8.1) Describe the key barriers or challenges to eliminating deforestation and/or conversion of other natural ecosystems from your direct operations or from other parts of your value chain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coverage</strong></td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary barrier/challenge type</strong></td>
<td>Limited availability of certified materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comment</strong></td>
<td>Increased availability of certified materials would help to improve cost structures and promote the sustainability of our supply chain. In our efforts to meet our goal of 100% sustainable fiber-based products, a number of our markets have expressed this as their key challenge in achieving the target.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coverage</strong></td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary barrier/challenge type</strong></td>
<td>Limited availability of certified materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comment</strong></td>
<td>Increased availability of certified materials would help to improve cost structures and promote the sustainability of our supply chain. Although we have been able to obtain sustainable palm oil, adoption of higher levels of sustainable certification within RSPO would be aided by increased availability and the resulting improved cost structures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Cattle products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coverage</strong></td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary barrier/challenge type</strong></td>
<td>Inexistent or immature certification standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comment</strong></td>
<td>The development of more mature, well adopted certification standards would help provide a common language and set of expectations with suppliers. This would increase capability to engage and encourage the taking of measure to reduce the industry's environmental footprint. The current set of programs has very low adoption, supplier familiarity and are not at a commercial scale.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(F8.2) Describe the main measures that would improve your organization's ability to manage its exposure to deforestation and/or conversion of other natural ecosystems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Main measure</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
<td>Reduced cost of certification/certified products</td>
<td>Increased availability of certified materials would help to improve cost structures and promote the sustainability of our supply chain. In our efforts to meet our goal of 100% sustainable fiber-based products a number of our markets have expressed this as their key challenge in achieving the target.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
<td>Reduced cost of certification/certified products</td>
<td>Increased availability of certified materials would help to improve cost structures and promote the sustainability of our supply chain. Although we have been able to obtain sustainable palm oil, adoption of higher levels of sustainable certification within RSPO would be aided by increased availability and the resulting improved cost structures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
<td>Development of certification and sustainability standards</td>
<td>The development of more mature, well adopted certification standards would help provide a common language and set of expectations with suppliers. This would increase capability to engage and encourage the taking of measure to reduce the industry's environmental footprint.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F17 Signoff

F-FI

(F-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

This report may contain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. We intend all forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts and by the use of forward-looking words such as "expect," "expectation," "believe," "anticipate," "may," "could," "intend," "belief," "plan," "estimate," "target," "predict," "likely," "seek," "project," "model," "ongoing," "will," "should," "forecast," "outlook," "new store opening goals" or similar terminology. These statements are based on and reflect our current expectations, estimates, assumptions and/or projections, our perception of historical trends and current conditions, as well as other factors that we believe are appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Forward-looking statements are neither predictions nor guarantees of future events, circumstances or performance and are inherently subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated by those statements. There can be no assurance that our expectations, estimates, assumptions and/or projections, including with respect to the future earnings and performance or capital structure of Yum! Brands, will prove to be correct or that any of our expectations, estimates or projections will be achieved. The forward-looking statements included in this report are only made as of the date of this report, and we disclaim any obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.

F17.1

(F17.1) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP forests response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>Corresponding job category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chief Executive Officer (CEO)</td>
<td>Chief Executive Officer (CEO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submit your response

In which language are you submitting your response?

English
Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I am submitting to</th>
<th>Public or Non-Public Submission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investors</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please confirm below

I have read and accept the applicable Terms